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Reading

- Appendix B (parts 1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9,10)
- This material is covered in MUCH greater depth in ECE/CS 350 – please take ECE/CS 350 if you want to learn enough digital design to build your own processor
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(Almost) Bottom UP to CPU
Computer = Machine That Manipulates Bits

• Everything is in binary (bunches of 0s and 1s)
  – Instructions, numbers, memory locations, etc.

• Computer is a machine that operates on bits
  – Executing instructions \(\rightarrow\) operating on bits

• Computers physically made of transistors
  – Electrically controlled switches

• We can use transistors to build logic
  – E.g., if this bit is a 0 and that bit is a 1, then set some other bit to be a 1
  – E.g., if the first 5 bits of the instruction are 10010 then set this other bit to 1 (to tell the adder to subtract instead of add)
How Many Transistors Are We Talking About?

Pentium III
• Processor Core 9.5 Million Transistors
• Total: 28 Million Transistors

Pentium 4
• Total: 42 Million Transistors

Core2 Duo (two processor cores)
• Total: 290 Million Transistors

Core2 Duo Extreme (4 processor cores, 8MB cache)
• Total: 590 Million Transistors

Core i7 with 6-cores
• Total: 2.27 Billion Transistors

How do they design such a thing? Carefully!
Abstraction!

- **Use of abstraction** (key to design of any large system)
  - Put a few (2-8) transistors into a logic gate (or, and, xor, …)
  - Combine gates into logical functions (add, select, …)
  - Combine adders, shifters, etc., together into modules
    - Units with well-defined interfaces for large tasks: e.g., decode
  - Combine a dozen of those into a core…
  - Stick 4 cores on a chip…
You are here:

• **Use of abstraction** (key to design of any large system)
  – Put a few (2-8) transistors into a **logic gate**
  – Combine gates into logical functions (add, select, …)
  – Combine adders, muxes, etc together into modules
    Units with well-defined interfaces for large tasks: e.g., decode
  – Combine a dozen of those into a core…
  – Stick 4 cores on a chip…
Boolean Algebra

• First step to logic: Boolean Algebra
  – Manipulation of True / False (1/0)
  – After all: everything is just 1s and 0s

• Given inputs (variables): A, B, C, P, Q...
  – Compute outputs using logical operators, such as:
  
  • NOT: !A (= \sim A = \overline{A})
  
  • AND: A&B (= A \cdot B = A*B = AB = A\land B) = A&&B in C/C++
  
  • OR: A | B (= A+B = A \lor B) = A || B in C/C++
  
  • XOR: A ^ B (= A \oplus B)

  • NAND, NOR, XNOR, Etc.
Truth Tables

• Can represent as **Truth Table**: shows outputs for all inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>NOT (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Truth Tables

• Can represent as truth table: shows outputs for all inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>NOT (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>AND (a, b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Truth Tables

- Can represent as truth table: shows outputs for all inputs

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
  a & \text{NOT}(a) \\
  \hline
  0 & 1 \\
  1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
  a & b & \text{AND}(a,b) \\
  \hline
  0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 0 \\
  1 & 0 & 0 \\
  1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
  a & b & \text{OR}(a,b) \\
  \hline
  0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 1 \\
  1 & 0 & 1 \\
  1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

© Daniel J. Sorin, from Hilton and Lebeck
Truth Tables

• Can represent as truth table: shows outputs for all inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>NOT (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>AND (a,b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>OR (a,b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>XOR (a,b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>XNOR (a,b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>NOR (a,b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Any Inputs, Any Outputs

• Can have any # of inputs, any # of outputs
• Can have arbitrary functions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a b c</th>
<th>$f_1$</th>
<th>$f_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 0 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s Write a Truth Table for a Function…

• Example:
  \[(A \& B) \mid \lnot C\]

Start with Empty TT
  Column Per Input
  Column Per Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

• Example:
  
  \((A \& B) \mid !C\)

Start with Empty TT

Column Per Input

Column Per Output

Fill in Inputs

Counting in Binary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

• Example:
  \((A \& B) \mid !C\)

Start with Empty TT

Column Per Input

Column Per Output

Fill in Inputs

Counting in Binary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

- Example:
  \[(A \& B) \mid \neg C\]

Start with Empty TT

Column Per Input

Column Per Output

Fill in Inputs

Counting in Binary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

• Example:
  \[(A \& B) \mid \neg C\]

Start with Empty TT
- Column Per Input
- Column Per Output

Fill in Inputs
  Counting in Binary

Compute Output
\[(0 \& 0) \mid \neg 0 = 0 \mid 1 = 1\]
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

- Example:
  
  \[(A \& B) | !C\]

Start with Empty TT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column Per Input
Column Per Output
Fill in Inputs
Counting in Binary

Compute Output

\[(0 \& 0) | !1 = 0 | 0 = 0\]
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

- Example:
  \((A \& B) \mid \neg C\)

**Start with Empty TT**
- Column Per Input
- Column Per Output

**Fill in Inputs**
- Counting in Binary

**Compute Output**
- \((0 \& 1) \mid \neg 0 = 0 \mid 1 = 1\)
Let’s write a Truth Table for a function…

- Example:
  \[(A \& B) \mid \neg C\]

**Start with Empty TT**
- Column Per Input
- Column Per Output

**Fill in Inputs**
- Counting in Binary

**Compute Output**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You try one…

• Try one yourself:
  $(!A \lor B) \land !C$
You try one…

• Try one yourself:
  (!A | B) & !C

Answer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• Given a Truth Table, find the formula?

Hmmm..

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• Given a Truth Table, find the formula?

Hmmm …

Could write down every “true” case
Then OR together:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• Given a Truth Table, find the formula?

Hmmm..
Could write down every “true” case
Then OR together:

(\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \mid
(\neg A \land \neg B \land C) \mid
(\neg A \land B \land \neg C) \mid
(A \land B \land \neg C) \mid
(A \land B \land C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• Given a Truth Table, find the formula?

Hmmm..

Could write down every “true” case

Then OR together:

\[
\begin{align*}
(!A \& !B \& !C) \mid & \\
(!A \& !B \& C) \mid & \\
(!A \& B \& !C) \mid & \\
(A \& B \& !C) \mid & \\
(A \& B \& C) & 
\end{align*}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• This approach: “sum of products”
  – Works every time
  – Result is right…
  – But really ugly

\[
\begin{align*}
\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C \land \neg A \land \neg B \land C \\
\neg A \land \neg B \land C \land \neg A \land B \land \neg C \\
A \land B \land \neg C \\
A \land B \land C
\end{align*}
\]
Suppose I turn it around…

- This approach: “sum of products”
  - Works every time
  - Result is right…
  - But really ugly

\[(!A \& !B \& !C) \lor (!A \& !B \& C) \lor (!A \& B \& !C) \lor (A \& B \& !C) \lor (A \& B \& C)\]

Could just be \((A \& B)\) here?
Suppose I turn it around…

• This approach: “sum of products”
  – Works every time
  – Result is right…
  – But really ugly

$$(\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \lor
(\neg A \land \neg B \land C) \lor
(\neg A \land B \land \neg C) \lor
(A \land B)$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

- This approach: “sum of products”
  - Works every time
  - Result is right…
  - But really ugly

\[
(\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \lor \\
(\neg A \land \neg B \land C) \lor \\
(\neg A \land B \land \neg C) \lor \\
(A \land B)
\]

Could just be \((\neg A \land \neg B)\) here

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• This approach: “sum of products”
  – Works every time
  – Result is right…
  – But really ugly

\[(!A \& !B) \mid
(!A \& B \& !C) \mid
(A\&B)\]

Could just be (!A & !B) here

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• This approach: “sum of products”
  – Works every time
  – Result is right…
  – But really ugly

(!A & !B) | (!A & B & !C) | (A&B)

Looks nicer…
Can we do better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• This approach: “sum of products”
  – Works every time
  – Result is right…
  – But really ugly

\[
\text{(!A & !B) } | \\
\text{(!A & B & !C) } | \\
\text{(A&B)}
\]

This has a lot in common:
!A & (something)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suppose I turn it around…

• This approach: “sum of products”
  – Works every time
  – Result is right…
  – But really ugly

\[(\neg A \& \neg (B \& C)) \lor (A \& B)\]
Just did some of these by intuition.. but

- Somewhat intuitive approach to simplifying
- This is **math**, so there are formal rules
  - Just like “regular” algebra
Boolean Function Simplification

- Boolean expressions can be simplified by using the following rules (bitwise logical):
  - \( A & A = A \)
  - \( A & 0 = 0 \)
  - \( A & 1 = A \)
  - \( A & !A = 0 \)
  - \( A | A = A \)
  - \( A | 0 = A \)
  - \( A | 1 = 1 \)
  - \( A | !A = 1 \)
  - \( !!A = A \)
  - \& and | are both commutative and associative
  - \& and | can be distributed: \( A & (B | C) = (A & B) | (A & C) \)
  - \& and | can be subsumed: \( A | (A & B) = A \)
DeMorgan’s Laws

• Two (less obvious) Laws of Boolean Algebra:
  – Let’s push negations inside, flipping & and |

\[ !(A \ & \ B) = (!A) \ | \ (!B) \]

\[ !(A \ | \ B) = (!A) \ & \ (!B) \]

– You should try this at home – build truth tables for both the left and right sides and see that they’re the same
Suppose I turn it around…

• One more simplification on early example:

\[(\neg A \& \neg (B \& C)) \mid (A \& B)\]

= 

\[(\neg A \& (\neg B \mid \neg C)) \mid (A \& B)\]
Simplification Example:

\(! (\neg A \lor \neg (A \land (B \lor C)))\)
Simplification Example:

\[ \neg (\neg A | \neg (A \& (B \mid C))) \]

DeMorgan’s

\[ \neg \neg A \& \neg \neg (A \& (B \mid C)) \]
Simplification Example:

\[ (\neg A \mid \neg (A \& (B \mid C))) \]

DeMorgan’s

\[ \neg\neg A \& \neg\neg (A \& (B \mid C)) \]

Double Negation Elimination

\[ A \& (A \& (B \mid C)) \]
Simplification Example:

\[ \neg (\neg A \; \lor \; \neg (A \; \land \; (B \; \lor \; C))) \]

DeMorgan's

\[ \neg \neg A \; \land \; \neg \neg (A \; \land \; (B \; \lor \; C)) \]

Double Negation Elimination

\[ A \; \land \; (A \; \land \; (B \; \lor \; C)) \]

Associativity of \&

\[ (A \; \land \; A) \; \land \; (B \; \lor \; C) \]
Simplification Example:

\[ \neg (\neg A \lor \neg (A \land (B \lor C))) \]

DeMorgan’s

\[ \neg \neg A \land \neg \neg (A \land (B \lor C)) \]

Double Negation Elimination

\[ A \land (A \land (B \lor C)) \]

Associativity of \&

\[ (A \land A) \land (B \lor C) \]

\[ A \land A = A \]

\[ A \land (B \lor C) \]
You try this:

Come up with a formula for this Truth Table
Simplify as much as possible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You try this:

Come up with a formula for this Truth Table
Simplify as much as possible

Sum of Products:

\((\neg A \& \neg B \& \neg C) \mid (\neg A \& B \& \neg C) \mid (A \& \neg B \& C) \mid (A \& B \& C)\)
You try this:

Simplify:

(!A & !B & !C) | (!A & B & !C)
You try this:

Simplify:

(!A & !B & !C) | (!A & B & !C)

Regroup (associative/commutative):

((!A & !C) & !B) | ((!A & !C) & B)
You try this:

Simplify:

(!A & !B & !C) | (!A & B & !C)

Regroup (associative/commutative):

((!A & !C) & !B) | ((!A & !C) & B)

Un-distribute:

(!A & !C) & (!B | B)
You try this:

Simplify:

\((!A \land !B \land !C) \lor (!A \land B \land !C)\)

Regroup (associative/commutative):

\(((!A \land !C) \land !B) \lor (((!A \land !C) \land B)\)

Un-distribute:

\((!A \land !C) \land (!B \lor B)\)

OR identities:

\((!A \land !C) \land true = (!A \land !C)\)
You try this:

Come up with a formula for this Truth Table

Simplify as much as possible

Sum of Products:

\[
\begin{align*}
(!A & !C) & | \\
(A & !B & C) & | \\
(A & B & C)
\end{align*}
\]
You try this:

Come up with a formula for this Truth Table
Simplify as much as possible

Sum of Products:

\((!A \ & \ !C) \ | \ (A \ & \ C)\)
Applying the Theory

• Lots of good theory
• Can reason about complex Boolean expressions
• But why is this useful? (fun party trick)
Boolean Gates

- **Gates** are electronic devices that implement simple Boolean functions (building blocks of hardware)

**Examples**

- $\text{AND}(a, b)$
- $\text{OR}(a, b)$
- $\text{NAND}(a, b)$
- $\text{NOR}(a, b)$
- $\text{XOR}(a, b)$
- $\text{XNOR}(a, b)$
- $\text{NOT}(a)$
Guide to Remembering your Gates

- This one looks like it just points its input where to go
  - It just produces its input as its output
  - Called a buffer
Guide to Remembering your Gates

• This one looks like it just points its input where to go
  – It just produces its input as its output
  – Called a buffer

• A circle always means negate (invert)
Brief Interlude: Building An Inverter

\[ \overline{a} \]

\[ V_{dd} = \text{power} = 1 \]

P-type: switch is “on” if input is 0

N-type: switch is “on” if input is 1

\[ \text{ground} = 0 \]
Guide to Remembering Your Gates

- **AND Gates** have a straight edge, like an A (in AND)

  ![AND Gate Diagram]

  Straight like an A

- **OR Gates** have a curved edge, like an O (in OR)

  ![OR Gate Diagram]

  Curved, like an O
Guide to Remembering Your Gates

• If we stick a circle on them...

\[
\text{AND}(a, b)
\]

\[
\text{OR}(a, b)
\]

• We get NAND (NOT-AND) and NOR (NOT-OR)
  \[- \text{NAND}(a, b) = \text{NOT}(\text{AND}(a, b))\]
Guide to Remembering Your Gates

• XOR looks like OR (curved line)
  – But has two lines (like an X does)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{XOR}(a,b) & \quad a \quad b \\
\end{align*}
\]

• Can put a dot for XNOR
  – XNOR is 1-bit “equals” by the way

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{XNOR}(a,b) & \quad a \quad b \\
\end{align*}
\]
Boolean Functions, Gates and Circuits

- **Circuits** are made from a network of gates.

\[(\neg A \land \neg C) \lor (A \land C)\]
A few more words about gates

- Gates have inputs and outputs
  - If you try to hook up two outputs, bad things happen (your processor catches fire)

- If you don’t hook up an input, it behaves kind of randomly (also not good, but not set-your-chip-on-fire bad)
Let’s Make a Useful Circuit

• Pick between 2 inputs (called 2-to-1 MUX)
  – Short for multiplexor

• What might we do first?
Let’s Make a Useful Circuit

• Pick between 2 inputs (called 2-to-1 MUX)
  – Short for multiplexor

• What might we do first?
  – Make a truth table?
    • S is selector:
      • S=0, pick A
      • S=1, pick B

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s Make a Useful Circuit

• Pick between 2 inputs (called 2-to-1 MUX)
  – Short for multiplexor

• What might we do first?
  – Make a truth table?
    • S is selector:
      • S=0, pick A
      • S=1, pick B
  • Next: sum-of-products
    \[(!A \& B \& S) \mid \]
    \[(A \& !B \& !S) \mid \]
    \[(A \& B \& !S) \mid \]
    \[(A \& B \& S) \]

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s Make a Useful Circuit

• Pick between 2 inputs (called 2-to-1 MUX)
  – Short for multiplexor

• What might we do first?
  – Make a truth table?
    • S is selector:
      • S=0, pick A
      • S=1, pick B

• Next: sum-of-products

• Simplify
  \[ (A \& \neg S) \lor (B \& S) \]
Circuit Example: 2x1 MUX

Draw it in gates:

MUX(A, B, S) = (A & !S) | (B & S)

So common, we give it its own symbol:
The / 2 on the wire means “2 bits”
Arithmetic and Logical Operations in ISA

• What operations are there?
• How do we implement them?
  – Consider a 1-bit Adder
A 1-bit Full Adder

\[
\begin{array}{cccc|c|c}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]
Example: 4-bit adder
Subtraction

• How do we perform integer subtraction?
• What is the hardware?
  – Recall: hardware was why 2’s complement was good idea

• Remember: Subtraction is just addition
  \[ X - Y = \]
  \[ X + (-Y) = \]
  \[ X + (\sim Y + 1) \]
Example: Adder/Subtractor

Add/Sub

Full Adder

Full Adder

Full Adder

Full Adder

C_{out}

b3  a3  b2  a2  b1  a1  b0  a0

S3  S2  S1  S0

© Daniel J. Sorin, from Hilton and Lebeck
Overflow

• We can detect unsigned overflow by looking at CO
• How would we detect signed overflow?
  – If adding positive numbers and result “is” negative
  – If adding negative numbers and result “is” positive
  – At most significant bit of adder, check if CI != CO
  – Can check with XOR gate
Add/Subtract With Overflow Detection

Overflow

Full Adder

S_{n-1}

S_{n-2}

Add/Sub

b_{n-1} a_{n-1} b_{n-2} a_{n-2}

S_1

Full Adder

S_0

Full Adder

b_1 a_1 b_0 a_0

© Daniel J. Sorin, from Hilton and Lebeck

ECE/CS 250
ALU Slice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a + b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a - b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NOT b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a OR b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a AND b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ALU
Summary

• Boolean Algebra & functions
• Logic gates (AND, OR, NOT, etc)
• Multiplexors
• Adder
• Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU)