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Where We Are in This Course Right Now

• So far:
  • We know what a computer architecture is
  • We know what kinds of instructions it might execute
  • We know how to perform arithmetic and logic in an ALU

• Now:
  • We learn how to design a processor in which the ALU is just one component
  • Processor must be able to fetch instructions, decode them, and execute them
  • There are many ways to do this, even for a given ISA

• Next:
  • We learn how to use pipelining to get better performance out of this processor
This Unit: Processor Design

- Datapath components and timing
  - Registers and register files
  - Memories (RAMs)
- Mapping an ISA to a datapath
- Control
- Exceptions
Readings

• Patterson and Hennessy
  • Chapter 4: Sections 4.1-4.4
• Read this chapter carefully
  • It has many more examples than I can cover in class
So You Have an ALU...

- **Important reminder:** a processor is just a big finite state machine (FSM) that interprets some ISA

- Start with one instruction
  
  ```
  add $3, $2, $4
  ```
  - ALU performs just a small part of execution of instruction
  - You have to read and write registers
  - You have to fetch the instruction to begin with

- What about loads and stores?
  - Need some sort of memory interface

- What about branches?
  - Need some hardware for that, too
Datapath and Control

- **Datapath**: registers, memories, ALUs (computation)
- **Control**: which registers read/write, which ALU operation
- **Fetch**: get instruction, translate into control
- **Processor Cycle**: Fetch → Decode → Execute
Building a Processor for an ISA

- Fetch is pretty straightforward
  - Just need a register (called the Program Counter or PC) to hold the next address to fetch from instruction memory
  - Provide address to instruction memory → instruction memory provides instruction at that address

- Let’s start with the datapath
  1. Look at ISA
  2. Make sure datapath can implement every instruction
Datapath for MIPS ISA

• Consider only the following instructions
  
  add $1,$2,$3
  addi $1,2,$3
  lw $1,4($3)
  sw $1,4($3)
  beq $1,$2,PC_relative_target
  j Absolute_target

• Why only these?
  • Most other instructions are similar from datapath viewpoint
  • I leave the other ones for you to figure out
**Register**: DFF array with shared clock, write-enable (WE)

- Notice: both a clock and a WE ($DFF_{WE} = \text{clock} \& \text{register}_{WE}$)
- Convention I: clock represented by wedge
- Convention II: if no WE, DFF is written on every clock
Uses of Registers

- A single register is good for some things
  - PC: program counter
  - Other things which aren’t the ISA registers
    - ICQ: other examples from within the ALU, mult, div?
What About the ISA Registers?

- **Register file**: the ISA ("architectural", "visible") registers
  - Two read "ports" + one write "port"
    - Maximum number of reads/writes in single instruction (R-type)
- **Port**: wires for accessing an array of data
  - Data bus: width of data element (MIPS: 32 bits)
  - Address bus: width of $\log_2$ number of elements (MIPS: 5 bits)
  - Write enable: if it’s a write port
  - $M$ ports = $M$ parallel and independent accesses
A Register File With Four Registers
Add a Read Port for RS1

- Output of each register into 4to1 mux (RS1VAL)
  - RS1 is select input of RS1VAL mux
Add Another Read Port for RS2

- Output of each register into another 4to1 mux (RS2VAL)
  - RS2 is select input of RS2VAL mux
Add a Write Port for RD

- Input RDVAL into each register
  - Enable only one register’s WE: (Decoded RD) & (WE)
- What if we needed two write ports?
Another Read Port Implementation

• A read port that uses muxes is fine for 4 registers
  • Not so good for 32 registers (32-to-1 mux is very slow)

• Alternative implementation uses **tri-state buffers**
  • Truth table (E = enable, D = input, Q = output)
    
    \[
    \begin{array}{c|c|c}
    E & D & Q \\
    \hline
    1 & D & D \\
    0 & D & Z \\
    \end{array}
    \]

  • **Z**: “high impedance” state, no current flowing

• Mux: connect multiple tri-stated buses to one output bus
• Key: only one input “driving” at any time, all others must be in “Z”
  • Else, all hell breaks loose (electrically)
Register File With Tri-State Read Ports
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Another Useful Component: Memory

- **Memory**: where instructions and data reside
  - One read/write “port”: one access per cycle, either read or write
    - One address bus
  - One input data bus for writes, one output data bus for reads
Let’s Build A MIPS-like Datapath
Start With Fetch

- PC and instruction memory
- A +4 incrementer computes default next instruction PC
  - Why +4 (and not +1)? What will it be for 32-bit Duke 152/32?
First Instruction: add $rd, $rs, $rt

- Add register file and ALU
Second Instruction: addi $rt, $rs, imm

- Destination register can now be either rd or rt
- Add sign extension unit and mux into second ALU input
Third Instruction: \texttt{lw} \$rt, imm($rs)

- Add data memory, address is ALU output (rs+imm)
- Add register write data mux to select memory output or ALU output
Fourth Instruction: sw $rt, imm($rs)

- Add path from second input register to data memory data input
- Disable RegFile’s WE signal
Fifth Instruction: beq $1, $2, target

- Add left shift unit (why?) and adder to compute PC-relative branch target
- Add mux to do what?
Sixth Instruction: j

- Add shifter to compute left shift of 26-bit immediate
- Add additional PC input mux for jump target
Seventh, Eight, Ninth Instructions

• Are these the paths we would need for all instructions?

  \texttt{sll} \ $1,\$2,4 \ // \ shift \ left \ logical
  \begin{itemize}
  \item Like an arithmetic operation, but need a shifter too
  \end{itemize}

  \texttt{slt} \ $1,\$2,\$3 \ // \ set \ less \ than \ (slt)
  \begin{itemize}
  \item Like subtract, but need to write the condition bits, not the result
    \begin{itemize}
    \item Need zero extension unit for condition bits
    \item Need additional input to register write data mux
    \end{itemize}
  \end{itemize}

  \texttt{jal} \ absolute\_target \ // \ jump \ and \ link
  \begin{itemize}
  \item Like a jump, but also need to write PC+4 into $ra ($31)
    \begin{itemize}
    \item Need path from PC+4 adder to register write data mux
    \item Need to be able to specify $31 as an implicit destination
    \end{itemize}
  \end{itemize}

  \texttt{jr} \ $31 \ // \ jump \ register
  \begin{itemize}
  \item Like a jump, but need path from register read to PC write mux\end{itemize}
Clock Timing

- Must deliver clock(s) to avoid races
- Can’t write and read same value at same clock edge
  - Particularly a problem for RegFile and Memory
- May create multiple clock edges (from single input clock) by using buffers (to delay clock) and inverters
This Unit: Processor Design

- Datapath components and timing
  - Registers and register files
  - Memories (RAMs)
  - Clocking strategies
- Mapping an ISA to a datapath
- Control
- Exceptions
What Is Control?

- 9 signals control flow of data through this datapath
  - MUX selectors, or register/memory write enable signals
  - Datapath of current microprocessor has 100s of control signals
Example: Control for add

PC -> Insn Mem -> Register File

s1 s2 d -> ALU In B

Rdst=1 ALUop=0 DMwe=0

BR=0 JP=0 Rwd=0

+ 4

Rwe=1

a d

Data Mem
Example: Control for sw

- Difference between a sw and an add is 5 signals
  - 3 if you don’t count the X (“don’t care”) signals
Example: Control for \texttt{beq} $\$1,\$2,\texttt{target}$

- Difference between a store and a branch is only 4 signals
How Is Control Implemented?
Implementing Control

- Each instruction has a unique set of control signals
  - Most signals are function of opcode
  - Some may be encoded in the instruction itself
    - E.g., the ALUop signal is some portion of the MIPS Func field
    - Simplifies controller implementation
    - Requires careful ISA design

- Options for implementing control
  1. Use instruction type to look up control signals in a table
  2. Design FSM whose outputs are control signals
  - Either way, goal is same: turn instruction into control signals
Control Implementation: ROM

- **ROM (read only memory)**: like a RAM but unwritable
  - Bits in data words are control signals
  - Lines indexed by opcode

- Example: ROM control for our simple datapath

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>opcode</th>
<th>BR</th>
<th>JP</th>
<th>ALUinB</th>
<th>ALUop</th>
<th>DMwe</th>
<th>Rwe</th>
<th>Rdst</th>
<th>Rwd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>add</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>addi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lw</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beq</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
ROM vs. Combinational Logic

• A control ROM is fine for 6 insns and 9 control signals
• A real machine has 100+ insns and 300+ control signals
  • Even “RISC”s have lots of instructions
  • 30,000+ control bits (~4KB)
    – Not huge, but hard to make fast
      • Control must be faster than datapath

• Alternative: **combinational logic**
  • ECE 52 strikes back!
  • Exploits observation: many signals have few 1s or few 0s
Control Implementation: Combinational Logic

- Example: combinational logic control for our simple datapath
Datapath and Control Timing

Control (ROM or combinational logic)

Read IMem
Read Registers (Read Control ROM)
Read DMEM
Write DMEM
Write Registers
Write PC
This Unit: Processor Design

- Datapath components and timing
  - Registers and register files
  - Memories (RAMs)
  - Clocking strategies
- Mapping an ISA to a datapath
- Control
- Exceptions
Exceptions

- **Exceptions and interrupts**
  - Infrequent (exceptional!) events
    - I/O, divide-by-0, illegal instruction, page fault, protection fault, ctrl-C, ctrl-Z, timer
  
- Handling requires intervention from operating system
  - End program: divide-by-0, protection fault, illegal insn, ^C
  - Fix and restart program: I/O, page fault, ^Z, timer

- Handling should be transparent to application code
  - Don’t want to (can’t) constantly check for these using insns
  - Want “Fix and restart” equivalent to “never happened”
Exception Handling

- What does exception handling look like to software?
  - When exception happens...

  - Control transfers to OS at pre-specified exception handler address
  - OS has privileged access to registers user processes do not see
    - These registers hold information about exception
    - Cause of exception (e.g., page fault, arithmetic overflow)
    - Other exception info (e.g., address that caused page fault)
    - PC of application insn to return to after exception is fixed
  - OS uses privileged (and non-privileged) registers to do its “thing”
  - OS returns control to user application

- Same mechanism available programmatically via SYSCALL
MIPS Exception Handling

- MIPS uses registers to hold state during exception handling
  - These registers live on “coprocessor 0”
  - $14$: EPC (holds PC of user program during exception handling)
  - $13$: exception type (SYSCALL, overflow, etc.)
  - $8$: virtual address (that produced page/protection fault)
  - $12$: exception mask (which exceptions trigger OS)
- Exception registers accessed using two privileged instructions mfc0, mtc0
  - Privileged = user process can’t execute them
  - mfc0: move (register) from coprocessor 0 (to user reg)
  - mtc0: move (register) to coprocessor 0 (from user reg)
- Privileged instruction rfe restores user mode
  - Kernel executes this instruction to restore user program
Implementing Exceptions

• Why do architects care about exceptions?
  • Because we use datapath and control to implement them
  • More precisely... to implement aspects of exception handling
    • Recognition of exceptions
    • Transfer of control to OS
    • Privileged OS mode
Datapath with Support for Exceptions

- Co-processor register (CR) file needn’t be implemented as RF
  - Independent registers connected directly to pertinent muxes

**PSR (processor status register):** in privileged mode?
Summary

• We now know how to build a fully functional processor
• But …
  • We’re still treating memory as a black box (actually two green boxes, to be precise)
  • Our fully functional processor is slow. Really, really slow.
“Single-Cycle” Performance

• Useful metric: cycles per instruction (CPI)
+ Easy to calculate for single-cycle processor: CPI = 1
  • Seconds/program = (insns/program) * 1 CPI * (N seconds/cycle)
  • ICQ: How many cycles/second in 3.8 GHz processor?

– Slow!
• Clock period must be elongated to accommodate longest operation
  • In our datapath: lw
  • Goes through five structures in series: insn mem, register file (read), ALU, data mem, register file again (write)
• No one will buy a machine with a slow clock
  • Not even your grandparents!
This Unit: Processor Design

- Datapath components and timing
  - Registers and register files
  - Memories (RAMs)
  - Clocking strategies
- Mapping an ISA to a datapath
- Control

Next up: Pipelining