What is FO4?

- Fan-out of 4 is a process-independent delay metric in CMOS tech
- \( \frac{C_{\text{load}}}{C_{\text{in}}} = \text{FO4} \)
- \( C_{\text{load}} \) = total MOS gate capacitance driven by logic gate under consideration
- \( C_{\text{in}} \) = the MOS gate capacitance of the logic gate under consideration
- FO4 is used as a fairer comparison metric because scaled technologies are inherently faster in absolute terms
- In terms of a delay metric:
  - 1 FO4 is the delay of an inverter, driven by an inverter 4x smaller than itself, while driving an inverter 4x larger than itself
Discoveries

- Using SPEC 2000 benchmarks, for high-performance architecture in 100nm
  - 8FO4 for integer benchmarks
    - 6 for useful work
    - 2 for overhead
  - 6FO4 for floating point benchmarks
  - Insensitive to latch and skew overheads
- Further pipelining:
  - Performance boost upto 2x
- Difficult to design instruction issue windows to operate in one cycle, considering high clock frequencies
  - Segmented instruction window
Processor Performance History

- Performance boosted by IPC and clock frequency gains
  - How much further can reducing the amount of logic per pipeline stage improve performance?

Intel Processor Trend

Figure 1: The year of introduction, clock frequency and fabrication technologies of the last seven generations of Intel processors. Logic levels are measured in fan-out-of-four delays (FO4). The broken line shows the optimal clock period for integer codes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Caveats</th>
<th>Overhead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Reducing the amount of logic per pipeline stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Seek balance between IPC and clock frequency</td>
<td>$\phi = \phi_{logic} + \phi_{latch} + \phi_{skew} + \phi_{jitter}$ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Pipelined machines requires data and control signals at each stage to be saved at the end of every cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Final estimate is 36 ps (1 FO4) at 100nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: Circuit and timing diagrams of a basic pulse latch. The shaded area in Figure 2b indicates that the signal is valid.

Figure 3: Simulation setup to find latch overhead. The clock and data signals are buffered by a series of six inverters and the output drives a similar latch with its transmission gate turned on.
Skew & Jitter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Overhead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{latch}$</td>
<td>Latch Overhead</td>
<td>1.0 FO4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{skew}$</td>
<td>Skew Overhead</td>
<td>0.3 FO4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{jitter}$</td>
<td>Jitter Overhead</td>
<td>0.5 FO4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{overhead}$</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.8 FO4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Overheads due to latch, clock skew and jitter.

Simulation Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integer</th>
<th>Vector FP</th>
<th>Non-vector FP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164.gzip</td>
<td>171.swim</td>
<td>177.mesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175.vpr</td>
<td>172.mgrid</td>
<td>178.galgel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176.gcc</td>
<td>173.aplu</td>
<td>179.art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181.mcf</td>
<td>183.cjpeg</td>
<td>188.ammp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197.parser</td>
<td>252.zon</td>
<td>189.lucas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253.perlbmk</td>
<td>256.bzipo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.twolf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: SPEC 2000 benchmarks used in all simulation experiments. The benchmarks are further classified into vector and non-vector benchmarks.
Latencies & Scaling Pipelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta t_{data}$ (FO4)</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>Branch Predictor</th>
<th>Rename Table</th>
<th>Issue Window</th>
<th>Register File</th>
<th>Integer Add</th>
<th>Multi Add</th>
<th>Floating Point Div</th>
<th>Sqrt</th>
<th>Multi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Access latencies (clock cycles) of micro-architectural structures and integer and floating point operations at 100nm technology (shadow gate length). The functional units are fully pipelined and new instructions can be assigned to them every cycle. The last row shows the latency on chip structures on the Alpha 21264 processor (100nm).

Pipelined Architectures

- Vary pipeline depth of an in-order issue processor to determine optimal clock frequency
  - 7 stages and the issue stage can handle 4 instructions in each cycle
  - Functional units are fully pipelined, new instructions assigned at every clock cycle
Benchmarks of IO Pipeline

Figure 4: In-order pipeline performance with and without latch overhead. Figure 4a shows that when there is no latch overhead performance improves as pipeline depth is increased. When latch and clock overheads are considered, maximum performance is obtained with 6 FO4 useful logic per stage ($p_{\text{logic}}$), as shown in Figure 4b.

CRAZY Comparison

- The latency in one logic level for a CRAZY-iS in CMOS would be 1.36 FO4
  - 8 gate levels for scalar
  - 4 gate levels for vector
- Optimal logic for vector benchmarks have stayed the same for the IO Pipeline and CRAZY because of ample ILP
- Logic for integers has more than halved since the time of the CRAZY
- Processor designed with modern techniques can be clocked at more than twice the frequency
Dynamically Scheduled Processor

Figure 5: The harmonic mean of the performance of integer and floating point benchmarks, executing on an out-of-order pipeline, accounting for latch overhead, clock skew and jitter. For integer benchmarks best performance is obtained with 6 FO4 of useful logic per stage ($\phi_{\text{logic}}$). For vector and non-vector floating-point benchmarks the optimal $\phi_{\text{logic}}$ is 4 FO4 and 5 FO4 respectively.

Relating Logic & Overhead

Figure 6: The harmonic mean of the performance of integer benchmarks, executing on an out-of-order pipeline for various values of $\phi_{\text{overhead}}$. 
Logic & Structure Capacity

- Identify the best capacity and corresponding latency for on-chip structures:
  - Determine the sensitivity of IPC to the size and delay of each individual structure
  - Vary latency of each structure individually, with its capacity unchanged

IPC & Pipelining

- Increasing overall depth of a pipeline decreases IPC because of dependencies in critical loops
  - Issue, load, obtaining a value, and predicting a branch
  - Loops lengths increase
  - Loops need to execute in fewest cycles

Figure 7: The harmonic mean of the performance of all SPEC 2000 benchmarks when optimal on-chip microarchitectural structure capacities are selected.
Critical Loops

Segmented Instruction Window

- For new instructions every cycle, the instruction issue window examines which instructions can be issued (woken up)
- Every cycle that a result is produced, a destination tag is broadcast to all entries in the instruction window

Figure 8: IPC sensitivity to critical loops in the data path. The x-axis of this graph shows the number of cycles the loop was extended over its length in the Alpha 21264 pipeline. The y-axis shows relative IPC.

Figure 9: A high-level representation of the instruction window.
Instruction Window Stages

- 3 components constitute delay to wake up instructions
  - Delay to broadcast tags
  - Delay to perform tag comparisons
  - Delay to OR individual match lines
- To reduce tag broadcast latency, organize instruction window into stages

Effects of Stages

Figure 10: A segmented instruction window wherein the tags are broadcast to one stage of the instruction window at a time. We also assume that instructions can be selected from the entire window.

Figure 11: IPC sensitivity to instruction window pipeline depth, assuming all entries in the window can be considered for selection.
Selection Logic

- Conventional processor, select logic examines the entire instruction window to select instructions for issue
- Decrease latency by reducing fan-in
- At every clock cycle, preselection logic blocks S2-S4 pick ready instructions in their stage
  - Instructions are stored in latches L1-L7 at the end of the cycle
  - In the second cycle, the select logic of S1 selects 4 instructions from Stage 1 and those in L1-L7 to be issued

Related Work

- Instructions can be woken up speculatively when grandparents are issued
  - If grandparents' tags are broadcast during the current cycle, parents' tags will probably be issued the same cycle
  - Cannot be issued until parents are issued
  - Reduces IPC, but enables functionality at higher frequencies
- Moving selection logic off the critical path
  - Two separate stages for wake-up and select
  - In the wake-up stage instructions are woken up by producer tags
  - All woken instructions speculate that they will be selected for issue in the following cycle - i.e. available
  - Result tags are broadcast as if all of them have been issued
  - Still, selection logic selects only a limited number from 'available', others are detected and rescheduled
Wrap-Up

- 6FO4 is the amount of useful logic per stage that will provide the best performance. Below this value, the improvement in clock frequency cannot compensate for a decrease in IPC and vice-versa.
- Optimal clock frequencies are dependent on on-chip microarchitectural structures, these structures need to be pipelined to operate at high frequencies.
- Segmented instruction window for pipelining with 4 stages without a marked decrease in IPC.
- Pipelining can at most improve the clock rate by a factor of two, additional improvements must come from
  - Concurrency, ILP or TLP, or a combination.
- To follow historic performance trends, concurrency would have to increase at 33% per year, with 15 IPC before another 15 years has passed.

END