Copper Nanowire Networks with Transparent Oxide Shells That Prevent Oxidation without Reducing Transmittance

Zuofeng Chen,†‡ Shengrong Ye,‡ Ian E. Stewart,‡ and Benjamin J. Wiley*,‡
†Department of Chemistry, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China, and ‡Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, United States

ABSTRACT Transparent conducting films of solution-synthesized copper nanowires are an attractive alternative to indium tin oxide due to the relative abundance of Cu and the low cost of solution-phase nanowire coating processes. However, there has to date been no way to protect Cu nanowires with a solution-phase process that does not adversely affect the optoelectric performance of Cu nanowire films. This article reports that the electrodeposition of zinc, tin, or indium shells onto Cu nanowires, followed by oxidation of these shells, enables the protection of Cu nanowire films against oxidation without decreasing film performance.
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TRANSPARENT CONDUCTING FILMS OF SOLUTION-SYNTHESIZED COPPER NANOWIRES ARE AN ATTRACTIONAL ALTERNATIVE TO INDIUM TIN OXIDE DUE TO THE RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF Cu AND THE LOW COST OF SOLUTION-PHASE NANOWIRE COATING PROCESSES. HOWEVER, THERE HAS TO DATE BEEN NO WAY TO PROTECT Cu NANOWIRES WITH A SOLUTION-PHASE PROCESS THAT DOES NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE OPTOELECTRIC PERFORMANCE OF Cu NANOWIRE FILMS. THIS ARTICLE REPORTS THAT THE ELECTRODEPOSITION OF ZINC, TIN, OR INDIUM SHELLS ONTO Cu NANOWIRES, FOLLOWED BY OXIDATION OF THESE SHELLS, ENABLES THE PROTECTION OF Cu NANOWIRE FILMS AGAINST OXIDATION WITHOUT DECREASING FILM PERFORMANCE.

Films made from one-dimensional (1D) copper nanowires (Cu NWs) are an attractive flexible alternative to ITO because they can be deposited from solution at linear coating rates several orders of magnitude faster than ITO sputtering, offer nearly the same level of optoelectric performance, and are composed of a material that is 1000 times more abundant and 100 times cheaper than indium ($59 kg^{-1}$ vs $800 kg^{-1}$). Although solution-coated films of Ag NWs also have an optoelectric performance equivalent to ITO, the price of silver ($1000 kg^{-1}$) is even greater than that of indium. Given their significant cost advantages, films of Cu NWs are regarded as a promising next-generation transparent conductor. However, Cu NWs are more sensitive to oxidation than Ag NWs, and this fact has hindered their use in applications.

There have been a limited number of studies that aim to address the critical hurdle of protecting Cu NWs from oxidation. One earlier attempt to protect Cu NWs consisted of coating a layer of Ni onto Cu NWs dispersed in ethylene glycol at 120 °C with electroless plating. Films of cupronickel nanowires were 1000 times more resistant to oxidation than films of Cu NWs, but their transmittance was up to 10% lower than films of Cu NWs at a sheet resistance of 60 Ω sq^{-1}. This decrease in transmittance was principally due to the fact that the nickel coating increased the diameter of the nanowires, which in turn increased the amount of light blocked by the nanowires at a given area coverage. In a separate study, the electrodeposition of zinc, tin, or indium shells onto Cu nanowires, followed by oxidation of these shells, enabled the protection of Cu nanowire films against oxidation without decreasing film performance.
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recent report, a layer of aluminum-doped ZnO and Al2O3 was deposited on electrospun copper nanofibers by atomic layer deposition (ALD). This coating was able to prevent oxidation of the copper nanofibers while decreasing the transmittance of the film at a given sheet resistance by ∼1%. The main drawback of this approach is that ALD remains a fairly costly technique that is better suited to small-area, high-value devices than large-area, low-cost roll-to-roll production.

Here we report a solution-phase process for protecting films of Cu NWs from oxidation without degrading their performance. This general approach consists of electroplating a metal, such as Zn, Sn, or In, onto the surface of Cu NWs after film formation, followed by oxidation of the metal coating to create a transparent zinc, tin, or indium oxide shell. These oxide shells protect Cu NWs from oxidation without degrading the transmittance and conductivity of NW networks.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cu NWs (>20 μm in length and ∼70 ± 25 nm in diameter) were synthesized in a manner similar to that reported previously and stored in an aqueous solution containing hydrazine (3 wt %) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (1 wt %). A thin, uniform film of the NWs was prepared on glass with a Meyer rod by following the procedure reported previously. The Cu NW film (65 mg m−2) exhibited a sheet resistance of 32 Ω sq−1 at a transmittance of 85% at λ = 550 nm (all transmittance values refer to specular transmittance). The sheet resistance and transmittance of a NW film can be varied by simply changing the areal density of the NWs.

The Cu NW network was coated with Zn by electroplating Zn(II) (1 mM ZnCl2) in 0.1 M deaerated sodium acetate (pH ∼8.2) at room temperature. As shown in Figure 1A (red curve), the cyclic voltammetry (CV) profile of the Cu NW network in solution without Zn(II) is relatively featureless from −0.25 to −1.0 V vs NHE (normal hydrogen electrode). The current onset at −1.0 V is from background water reduction. Upon addition of 1 mM ZnCl2 (blue curve), a redox couple was observed at Epc = −1.08 V and Epa = −0.68 V (Epc and Epa are the cathodic and anodic peak/plateau potentials, respectively). The CV behavior is consistent with the electrodeposition of Zn(0) and the reoxidation of Zn(0) during the forward and the reverse scans through Zn0 + 2e− ⇌ Zn2+. Continuous electroplating was conducted by holding the potential at −1.15 V, as shown in Figure 1B. Both the transmittance and sheet resistance of the NW networks decreased with increasing Zn content (Figure 1C). For later oxidation studies, we made a Cu–Zn core–shell (Cu–Zn) NW network consisting of 39 mol % of Zn (electroplating time = 10 min), which exhibited a sheet resistance of 25 Ω sq−1 and a transmittance of 76% at λ = 550 nm.

Figure 2 shows dark-field optical microscopy (DFOM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and X-ray energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) images of the Cu NW and Cu–Zn (39 mol % of Zn) NW networks. The optical images in Figure 2A,B demonstrate that the Cu NWs are evenly dispersed across the glass substrate and interconnected. The color of light scattered from the NWs changes from reddish-orange to neutral gray upon coating with Zn. The electroplating of Zn
occurred only on the surface of the Cu NWs, with no Zn deposited on the open areas of the substrate. This allowed the network to retain its transmittance after electroplating. The SEM images in Figure 2C,D further confirm that the electroplating occurred only on the surface of the Cu NWs. The surface roughness of the NWs increased after Zn coating, and the average diameter of the NWs increased from ∼70 to ∼100 nm. Similar observations were made by TEM images in Figure 2E,F. Cu and Zn have similar atomic masses and therefore do not exhibit much difference in contrast in TEM images. EDS mapping in Figure 2G shows the Cu NW is completely coated with a layer of Zn. The average thickness of the shell was determined to be 15 (±5 nm after electrodeposition of ∼39 mol % of Zn.

Unlike Ni coatings, the decrease in the transmittance upon coating of Zn can be recovered. When oxidized, ZnO and/or Zn(OH)₂ is transparent. Figure 3A shows that by dipping the Cu NWs in a 2 wt % solution of H₂O₂ in H₂O, its transmittance gradually increased to 84.3% after 15 min, a value close to that of the Cu NWs prior to Zn coating (85%), while its sheet resistance remained nearly unchanged. The fact that Zn was electroplated onto the Cu NW network after annealing ensures that the oxidation of the Zn shell does not result in the formation of oxide shells between Cu NWs at their point of electrical contact, and thus there is initially no increase in sheet resistance during the oxidation of Zn. Longer exposures to H₂O₂ resulted in a slight increase in the sheet resistance, potentially due to the oxidation of Cu NWs inside the oxide shell, or alternatively loss of the NWs from the substrate. These results are in contrast to the H₂O₂ treatment of Cu NWs in Figure 3B in which the sheet resistance of the bare Cu NWs increases rapidly after exposure to H₂O₂, exceeding 800 Ω sq⁻¹ after 15 min. The full transmittance spectra of films of both bare Cu NWs and Cu NWs after H₂O₂ treatment for 15 min are shown in Figure 3C.

After H₂O₂ treatment, the DFOM image of NW films in Figure 3D shows that the color of Cu NWs changes from neutral gray to a Cu NW-like reddish-orange. This is due to the conversion of Zn to a transparent shell consisting of a mixture of ZnO and Zn(OH)₂ (see XPS below). In contrast, the color of Cu NWs in Figure 3E changes from reddish-orange to gray-black due to the oxidation of Cu to CuO, although the oxidized regions of Cu NWs are hardly visible due to the lack of light scattering. The changes in color after H₂O₂ treatment can also be observed in the camera photos in Figure 3F,G.

Unlike films of Cu NWs, the sheet resistance of films of Cu NWs remains low after extended exposure to conditions designed to accelerate the oxidation of Cu. As shown in Figure 4A, the sheet resistance of Cu NWs increased dramatically in a dry oven at 160 °C and becomes insulating (>10⁶ Ω sq⁻¹) after 12 h. This sheet resistance increase is accompanied by a decrease in the transmittance due to the conversion of Cu NWs to CuO, which has a larger absorption cross section. In contrast, the sheet resistance of Cu NWs increases less than 15% after 18 h, while the transmittance at λ = 550 nm increased from 76 to 83.6%. Both Cu and Cu NWs exhibit similar changes in color after heating at 160 °C as with the H₂O₂ treatment (Supporting Information Figure S1).
As shown in Figure 4B, similar results were obtained when the films were tested in a humidity chamber at 85 °C with a relative humidity of 85% (85 °C/85% RH). The sheet resistance of Cu NWs increased more rapidly under humid conditions, even given the lower temperature, becoming insulating in less than 30 min. The increase in sheet resistance is much slower for CuCeZnNWs; the sheet resistance increased from 25 to ∼300 Ω sq⁻¹ in 24 h. The transmittance of the CuCeZnNWs increases by 7% over the test period and is accompanied by a color change from gray to reddish-orange (Supporting Information Figure S2).

We performed X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on both Cu and CuCeZnNWs to further characterize their compositional changes after exposure to H2O2, elevated temperatures, and humidity. XPS of CuNWs in Figure 5A exhibits peaks at 932.4 and 952.2 eV, characteristic of the 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 binding energies of Cu(0) metal. After exposure to H2O2, elevated temperatures, or humidity, the 2p peaks shift toward higher binding energy and additional rounded peaks from CuO appeared at 943.6 and 962.4 eV. Figure 5B shows that after coating CuNWs with a layer of Zn, the signals for Cu(0) decrease by approximately 8 times. After H2O2 treatment, heat, or humidity tests, these Cu(0) signals are nearly unchanged with no observable development of CuO signals, consistent with the fact that Cu NWs are protected from oxidation by the Zn layer.

Figure 5C shows XPS spectra for the 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 signals of Zn(0) and Zn(II) from CuCeZnNWs before and after exposure to H2O2, elevated temperatures, and humidity. There is a small shift of the peaks toward higher binding energies after the various exposures to
oxidizing conditions, qualitatively consistent with the conversion of Zn(0) to Zn(II) species given the small spectral difference between Zn(0) and Zn(II).30,31 In order to see the change in composition more clearly, we show Auger spectra in Figure 5D. CuC–ZnS NWs exhibit both a small Zn(0) peak at 993.4 eV and a broad Zn(II) peak centered at 988.6 eV, the latter consistent with the surface oxidation of Zn. After exposure to H2O2, heat, or humidity, the peak related to Zn(0) disappeared but different Zn(II) species appeared depending on the oxidation conditions. Exposure to H2O2 results in two peaks, one due to Zn(OH)2 and the other due to ZnO.30 After being heated at 160 °C for 18 h, ZnO is the dominant species on the surface of the nanowires. After testing at 85 °C/85% RH for 24 h, both the Zn(OH)2 and ZnO peaks have similar intensities. The reaction of Zn and ZnO with water to create Zn(OH)2 under humid conditions may explain the greater susceptibility of the CuC–SnS NW film to oxidize and increase in resistance during exposure to H2O2 and 85% RH compared to the dry oven at 160 °C.

Sn can also be electroplated onto the Cu NWs and then oxidized to create a transparent shell that protects the Cu NWs from oxidation. Initial Sn plating attempts were conducted by holding the film at potentials more negative than −0.65 V in a 0.2 M deaerated phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM SnCl2. However, constant—potential electroplating results in a rough coating consisting of nanoparticles with diameters in the tens to hundreds of nanometers (Supporting Information Figure S3, for example). It seems that constant—potential electroplating causes Sn to deposit preferentially at certain surface sites. To overcome this problem, we alternated between reduction and oxidation of Sn on the surface of the Cu NWs with successive CV scans. Figure 6A shows successive CVs of a Cu NW film (32 Ω sq−1, 85% at λ = 550 nm) under the same solution conditions. The electroplating of Sn(II) to Sn(0) on the nanowires is indicated by the increase in the current from the redox process of SnII++2e− ⇄ Sn0 between −0.20 and −0.70 V. Figure 6B shows the Sn content of the CuC–SnS NWs as a function of scan cycles, and Figure 6C shows that both the transmittance and the sheet resistance of the NW network decreased with increasing Sn content. The Cu–Sn core–shell (CuC–SnS) NW network produced by 250 successive CV scans contained 15 mol % of Sn and exhibited a transmittance of 81.5% at λ = 550 nm and a sheet resistance of 25 Ω sq−1.

Figure 6D–F shows DFOM, SEM, and TEM images of CuC–SnS NWs. Similar to that of CuC–ZnS NWs, the electroplating of Sn occurred only on the surface of the Cu NWs, with no Sn deposited on the open areas of the substrate, and the color of light scattered from the NWs changes from reddish-orange to neutral gray upon coating with Sn. EDS mapping in Figure 6G shows that the Cu NW is largely coated with a thin layer of Sn. The average thickness of the shell was determined to be 3–5 nm after electrodeposition of ~15 mol % of Sn. Comparison of the images in Figure 6 with those in Supporting Information Figure S3 shows that coating Sn with successive reduction and oxidation scans results in a much smoother coating than constant reduction.

Figure 7A shows that coating as little as 5.2 mol % of Sn (100 CV scan cycles) can greatly reduce the oxidation of Cu NWs in a humid environment at 85 °C/85% RH. The resistance to oxidation increases with increasing Sn content up to ~15 mol % of Sn (250 CV scan cycles), at which point the sheet resistance of the CuC–SnS NW film increases by only 105 Ω sq−1.
(from 25 to $\sim 130 \Omega \text{sq}^{-1}$) after 24 h. The XPS spectra in Supporting Information Figure S4A show that Cu(0) 2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$ peaks decrease by approximately 6 times after Sn coating and are retained after the humidity test, whereas Supporting Information Figure S4B shows that the Sn(0) 3d$_{5/2}$ and 3d$_{3/2}$ peaks completely disappear after the humidity test, consistent with the conversion of Sn(0) to SnO$_2$ and/or Sn(OH)$_4$\textsuperscript{30}. The oxidation of Sn during the humidity test results in an increase in the transmittance of the Cu$_{0.85}$-Sn$_{0.15}$ NW film from 81.5 to 83.8%. The full transmittance spectra of Cu NWs, Cu$_{0.85}$-Sn$_{0.15}$ NWs, and Cu$_{0.85}$-Sn$_{0.15}$ NWs after 24 h at 85 °C/85% RH in Figure 7B show that oxidation of the Sn shell allows the films to recover a significant portion of the transmittance loss after the initial Sn coating.

A similar strategy of electroplating followed by oxidation can also be applied to In to create transparent shells that protect the Cu NWs from oxidation. A Cu NW network (32 Ω sq$^{-1}$, 85% at $\lambda = 550$ nm) was coated with In by electroplating In(III) (1 mM InCl$_3$) onto the Cu NW networks in an aqueous solution of 0.2 M NaCl. The CV in Supporting Information Figure S5A shows a redox couple corresponding to In$^3+$ to In$^0$. Continuous electroplating was conducted by holding the potential at $-0.75$ V vs NHE for 12 min, resulting in a Cu$_{0.85}$-In$_{0.15}$ NW network with a transmittance of 78% at $\lambda = 550$ nm and a sheet resistance of 26 Ω sq$^{-1}$.

The corresponding DFOM and SEM images are shown in Supporting Information Figure S5B,C. Plots of the sheet resistance of the films versus time at 85 °C/85% RH show that this Cu$_{0.85}$-In$_{0.15}$ NW film is also highly resistant to oxidation (Supporting Information Figure S6): the sheet resistance of the film increased by only 64 Ω sq$^{-1}$ (from 26 to $\sim 90 \Omega \text{sq}^{-1}$) in 24 h. Meanwhile, the transmittance increased from 78 to 83% due to the conversion of In to a transparent layer of In oxide (Supporting Information Figure S7).

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, this study reports a general strategy for protecting copper nanowire films against oxidation with a solution-phase process, without decreasing the optoelectric performance of the film. Coating Cu NWs with a complete shell of Zn, Sn, or In leads to a decrease in the transmittance of the film by 4–9%, but this transmittance loss can largely be recovered by exposing the core–shell nanowires to oxidizing conditions. For example, exposure of a Cu$_{0.85}$-Zn$_{0.15}$ NW film to H$_2$O$_2$ (2 wt %) for 15 min increases the transmittance of the film from 76 to 84% and the sheet resistance from 24 to 29 Ω sq$^{-1}$. This work addresses a major practical hurdle to the practical utilization of Cu NW films in touch screens, organic light-emitting diodes, thin-film solar cells, and other optoelectronic devices.

**METHODS**

All experimental details are included in the Supporting Information.
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