


A model of the Boston “T” System



Idea from Paul Lewis

A less complex model




An even better model?



Why do models matter?


- Model-based methods including ML and Bayesian inference (typically) make a *consistent* estimate of the phylogeny (estimate converges to true tree as number of sites increases toward infinity)

... even when you're in the "Felsenstein Zone"



(Felsenstein, 1978)

In the Felsenstein Zone



Simulation model = GTR

Why do models matter (continued)?

- Parsimony is inconsistent in the Felsenstein zone (and other scenarios)
- Likelihood is consistent in any “zone” (when certain requirements are met)


But this guarantee requires that the model be specified correctly!

Likelihood can also be inconsistent if the model is oversimplified


- Real data always evolve according to processes more complex than any computationally feasible model would permit, so we have to choose “good” rather than “correct” models

What is a “good” model?

- A model that appropriately balances fit of the data with simplicity (parsimony, in a different sense)
i.e., if a simpler model fits the data almost as well as a more complex model, prefer the simpler one



$$y = 1.30 + 0.965x$$
$$(r^2 = 0.963)$$



$$y = -330 + 134x - 15.5x^2 + 0.816x^3$$
$$- 0.0225x^4 + 0.000335x^5$$
$$- 0.00000255x^6 + 0.00000000777x^7$$
$$(r^2 = 1.000)$$

“The Principle of Parsimony” in the world of statistics


- **Burnham and Anderson (1998): Model Selection and Inference**
 - *Parsimony lies between the evils of underfitting and overfitting. The concept of parsimony has a long history in the sciences. Often this has been expressed as “Occam’s razor”—shave away all that is not necessary. Parsimony in statistics represents a tradeoff between bias and variance as a function of the dimension of the model. A good model is a balance between under- and over-fitting.*
- **Welch, J. J. 2006. Estimating the genomewide rate of adaptive protein evolution in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 173: 821–837.**
 - *Model selection is a process of seeking the least inadequate model from a predefined set, all of which may be grossly inadequate as a representation of reality*

Why models don't have to be perfect


Assertion: In most situations, phylogenetic inference is relatively robust to model misspecification, *as long as critical factors influencing sequence evolution are accommodated*

Caveat: There are some kinds of model misspecification that are very difficult to overcome (e.g., “heterotachy”)

E.g.:




Half of sites



Other half

Likelihood can be consistent in Felsenstein zone, but will be inconsistent if a single set of branch lengths are assumed when there are actually two sets of branch lengths (Chang 1996)


GTR Family of Reversible DNA Substitution Models



Among site rate heterogeneity

- Proportion of invariable sites
 - Some sites extremely unlikely to change due to strong functional or structural constraint (Hasegawa et al., 1985)
- Gamma-distributed rates
 - Rate variation assumed to follow a gamma distribution with shape parameter α
- Site-specific rates (another way to model ASRV)
 - Different relative rates assumed for pre-assigned subsets of sites


Modeling ASRV with gamma distribution



...can also include a proportion of “invariable” sites (p_{inv})


Performance of ML when its model is violated

Tree




“MODERATE”–Felsenstein zone

$$\alpha = 1.0, p_{\text{inv}} = 0.5$$



“MODERATE”–Inverse-Felsenstein zone



Model selection criteria

- Likelihood ratio tests

$$\delta = -2(\ln L_0 - \ln L_1)$$

If model L_0 is nested within model L_1 , δ is distributed as χ^2 with degrees-of-freedom equal to difference in number of free parameters

- Akaike information criterion (AIC)

$$AIC_i = -2\ln L_i + 2K$$

where K is the number of free parameters estimated

- Bayesian information criterion (BIC)


$$BIC_i = -2\ln L_i + K \ln n$$


where K is the number of free parameters estimated and n is the “sample size” (typically number of sites)

AIC vs. BIC

- BIC performs well when true model is contained in model set, and AIC often selects a more complex model than the truth (indeed, AIC is formally inconsistent)
- But in phylogenetics, no model is as complex as truth, and the true model will never be contained in the model set

Histogram of $\delta = -2(\ln L_0 - \ln L_1)$





Model selection and partitioning

- Partitioning schemes

- By gene
- By codon
- By gene/codon combination
- Stems vs. loops
- Coding vs. noncoding
- Other clustering methods

- Overpartitioning is a risk

Slightly silly example (different variations on the JC model):

- Gene A: HKY+G, $\pi = (0.26, 0.24, 0.23, 0.27)$, $\kappa=1.1$, $\alpha=3.0$
- Gene B: GTR, $\pi = (0.25, 0.24, 0.25, 0.26)$, $(a, b, c, d, e) = (1.1, 1.2, 0.9, 1.1, 0.95)$
- Gene C: JC+I ($p_{\text{inv}}=0.05$)

- Use PartitionFinder (<http://www.robertlanfear.com/partitionfinder/>)

When does model selection matter?

- Often, not at all...
- When it matters, it may *really* matter