On the role of vegetation density on net snow cover radiation at the forest floor
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The timing and amount of snowmelt from forested watersheds is influenced by the total radiation reaching the forest floor. In order to understand and predict the impact of natural or anthropogenic changes in vegetation density on snow energetics, it is important to first quantify the relationship between vegetation density and net snow cover radiation. A physically based forest radiation model (FoRM) was developed to quantify net radiation on the forest floor and its dependence on vegetation density in midlatitude coniferous forests. For clear sky conditions, net radiation on the horizontal forest floor frequently exhibits a nonmonotonic decreasing trend with increasing vegetation density. The relationship turns monotonically increasing when cloudiness is considered. Variations in slope and aspect were also found to influence the behavior of radiation in relation to changing vegetation density. Net radiation increases with increasing slope for southerly aspects and decreases with northerly facing aspects. For clear sky conditions on south facing slopes, the optimal vegetation density at which radiation is minimized increases both with slope and toward more southerly aspects. In contrast, on north facing slopes, the vegetation density where net radiation is minimized decreases with increasing slope angle. When sky cloudiness is considered, for increasing south facing slopes, the relationship becomes relatively complex with the appearance of a local minimum at intermediate vegetation densities. The results have implications for prediction of snowmelt dynamics in complex terrain and development of forest thinning strategies to modulate snowmelt timing in mountainous environments.
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1. Introduction

[2] Snow cover ablation is an important process that affects hydrologic states and fluxes and water resources including groundwater recharge, stream-aquifer interactions, water quality, urban and agricultural water supply, and hydropower generation [Lundquist and Flint, 2006; Stewart et al., 2005]. Decrease in snowpack amount and alteration of melt timing may disrupt agricultural and municipal water supplies [Rango and Vankatwijk, 1990; Varhola et al., 2010; Winkler and Roach, 2005]. Alteration of canopy cover may either increase or decrease the timing and rate of snowmelt depending on slope and aspect [Ellis et al., 2011], and seasonal climate conditions (J. D. Lundquist, S. E. Dickerson-Lange, J. A. Lutz, and N. C. Cristea, Temperature-induced tipping point in net effect of forests on snowmelt, Water Resour. Res., in review, 2013). One of the primary factors that influence snow energetics is the net snow cover (as an emitter and reflector) radiation [Aguado, 1985; Bohren and Thorud, 1973; Elder et al., 1991], which typically is the dominant energy flux component when turbulent fluxes are relatively small, even in forests [Link and Marks, 1999]. Prediction of snowmelt in forested settings therefore necessitates understanding and prediction of the spatial and temporal distribution of net radiation on the forest floor [Varhola et al., 2010].

[3] Net snow cover radiation on the forest floor (NSRF) depends on a wide range of environmental and physical parameters including solar angles (controlled by latitude and time), vegetation density and geometry, physical properties of snow and canopy such as albedo and longwave emissivity, air temperature, humidity, sky cover, and local slope and aspect [Flerchinger et al., 2009; Gelfan et al., 2004; Kaminsky and Dubayah, 1997; Kumar et al., 1997; Marthews et al., 2012; Pomeroy et al., 2009]. NSRF consists of multiple radiation components which can be broadly classified into two spectral bands: longwave (3.5–100 μm) radiation from trees, sky and snow, and shortwave (0.28–3.5 μm) radiation that includes direct beam and diffuse radiation, and reflected shortwave from snow on the ground [Link and Marks, 1999; Pomeroy and Dion, 1996; Pomeroy et al., 2009] and canopy [Bohren and Thorud, 1973]. Several studies have evaluated individual radiation components and explored the influence of different environmental and physical variables. Longwave
radiation is predominantly controlled by temperature and emissivity of the source [Awanou, 1998]. Net longwave radiation on the forest floor is influenced by the contribution of radiation from multiple sources including canopy [Gay, 1968; Gay et al., 1971; Pomeroy et al., 2009], sky [Pluss and Ohmura, 1997], and emitted longwave radiation from the snowpack [Male and Granger, 1981]. The above-canopy incoming shortwave radiation varies with solar zenith angle which depends on latitude, season, time of day, and atmospheric turbidity [Male and Granger, 1981]. In forested settings, the above-canopy radiation declines as it passes through the canopy depending on the size and location of canopy gaps, canopy leaf area [Hardy et al., 2004], foliage density in the crown [Hutchison and Matt, 1977], and tree geometry including height, shape, and crown base height [Davis et al., 1997; Rasmus et al., 2011]. The shortwave radiation reaching the forest floor is also modulated by topographic factors such as elevation, slope, aspect, and adjacent terrain shading [Dubayah and Rich, 1995; Ellis et al., 2011; Gustafson et al., 2010; Hendrick et al., 1971; Jost et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 1997]. Net shortwave radiation is also influenced by snow albedo which determines the amount of reflected shortwave radiation [Link and Marks, 1999].

[4] Evaluation of net radiation for a range of vegetation densities (from sparse to very dense forests) is challenging. Most of the efforts related to quantification of NSRF in relation to discontinuous vegetation cover have focused on conditions in isolated canopy gaps [Berry and Rothwell, 1992; Gary, 1974; Golding and Swanson, 1978; Lawler and Link, 2011] or on comparison of radiation between open and relatively homogeneous stands with a limited range of tree densities [Hardy et al., 1997; Musselman et al., 2008; Price and Dunne, 1976; Sicart et al., 2004]. Only a limited number of efforts have evaluated how variations in vegetation density influence net snow cover radiation under forest canopies [Bohren and Thorud, 1973; USACE, 1956]. An analytical model used to explore how the variation in net radiation changes with respect to vegetation density in conifer forests showed existence of a maximum net radiation for high snow albedos. For other snow albedo ranges, the relationship was found to be either monotonically increasing or decreasing [Bohren and Thorud, 1973]. In contrast, a study in a lodgepole pine forest at ~39°N latitude reported that incoming net radiation in forested areas had a minimum value at an intermediate vegetation density [USACE, 1956]. While the aforementioned research highlights the disparate relationships between snow-covered forest floor radiation to vegetation density, the controls on these relationships in relation to spatial and temporal variations of different radiation components in forested settings have not been fully quantified. Furthermore, influences of topographic characteristics such as slope and aspect on the radiation trends spanning a range of forest densities have not been evaluated.

[5] The primary goal of this research is to quantify and understand the controls on net radiation in relation to vegetation density for different slopes and aspects. To understand Figure 1. (a) Gridded arrangement of trees on a forested hillslope; (b) control volume and tree geometry where \( h \) is tree height, \( r_c \) is crown radius, \( d_c \) is crown depth, \( h_b \) is tree base height, \( \beta \) is slope angle, \( Z_s \) is the surface azimuth angle, \( d \) is distance between trees, and \( n \) is surface normal vector.
the relative contribution of different radiation components reaching the forest floor, here we present a physically based forest radiation model (FoRM) that uses discrete representations of individual trees. In this study, the model is used to predict spatially distributed radiation components in a gridded infinite forest; however, the model formulation is also generic enough to be applied for NSRF calculation within heterogeneous forest patches. FoRM can be run at relatively fine temporal scales (e.g., <1 h) and can therefore be used to assess the temporal variability of all radiative energy components ranging from daily to seasonal scales and across a range of spatial scales ranging from the tree (e.g., <1 m) to the stand (e.g., >10 m) level. Specifically, four questions using FoRM are addressed in this work: (a) How does vegetation density influence net radiation on the forest floor during clear sky conditions? (b) How does sky cloudiness alter the variation of net radiation for different vegetation densities? (c) How does slope affect the amount of net radiation for a range of vegetation densities in relation to horizontal sites? and (d) How does aspect affect the amount of radiation for a range of vegetation densities?

2. FoRM: A Forest Radiation Model

Numerical experiments were designed to understand the variation of radiation below a coniferous forest canopy for both flat and inclined topography. The analysis was performed using virtual trees with cylindrical shaped crowns that are typical of white spruce (Picea glauca) [Gilman and Watson, 1994]. The species represents a relatively common conifer tree that is widely distributed in Northern Hemisphere forests that extend from 43° (Maine) to 69° north latitude (Alaska) [MFC, 1908; plantmaps.com, 2012] and hence was selected as a generic conifer for these investigations. FoRM accounts for modification of radiation at the forest floor due to a number of tree morphometric characteristics including height, shape, crown diameter and density, and crown base height. For simplicity, the forest was assumed to have a homogeneous gridded tree distribution, with distance between trees being equal to \( d \). To evaluate the variation of NSRF for changing vegetation density, \( d \) was varied between experiments. Because of the symmetric arrangement and infinitesimal of the forest, NSRF in each rectangular \( (d \times d) \) control volume, with a tree at its center (Figure 1), is the same as the average NSRF for the whole forest.

Net radiation on the forest floor \( (R_{\text{Net}} \text{ in } \text{W m}^{-2}) \) is modeled as a sum of net longwave \( (L_{\text{Net}} \text{ in } \text{W m}^{-2}) \) and shortwave \( (S_{\text{Net}} \text{ in } \text{W m}^{-2}) \) radiation components within the control volume [Hardy et al., 1997; Pomeroy et al., 2009; Sicart et al., 2006]:

\[
R_{\text{Net}} = L_{\text{Net}} + S_{\text{Net}}. \tag{1}
\]

where \( d \) is distance between trees. The radiation calculation is performed between winter \( (t1) \) and summer \( (t2) \) solstices in each control volume. The simulation period spans the entire duration of the snow season for many coniferous forests in mountain environments. It is assumed that snow exists on the forest floor for the entire snow season. Notably, the analyses can be performed for any place- and year-specific snow cover duration.

Net snow cover longwave radiation expands to

\[
L_{\text{Net}} = L_{\text{sky}} + L_{\text{crown}} + L_{\text{trunk}} - L_{\text{snow}}. \tag{3}
\]

\[
L_{\text{sky}} = \text{SVF} \sigma \varepsilon_{\text{sky}} T_{\text{air}}^4, \tag{4}
\]

\[
L_{\text{trunk}} = \text{TVF} \sigma \varepsilon_{\text{can}} T_{\text{trunk}}^4, \tag{5}
\]

\[
L_{\text{crown}} = (1 - \text{SVF} - \text{TVF}) \sigma \
\]

\ núncleos de radiación y bosques tienen en relación a la temperatura ambiente, observado
Table 2. Location and Characteristics of the Sites Used in the Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Location</th>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>Site Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greenville, ME</td>
<td>Air temperature and</td>
<td>Latitude: 45.5°N Longitude: 69.6°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1977–2012)</td>
<td>relative humidity</td>
<td>Elevation: 423 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mean temperature: 4.9°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual temperature range: 28.3°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diurnal temperature range: 9.2°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millinocket, ME</td>
<td>Solar radiation and</td>
<td>Latitude: 45.6°N Longitude: 68.7°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1990–2010)</td>
<td>sky cover</td>
<td>Elevation: 124 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mean sky cover: 56.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser, CO</td>
<td>Validation of shortwave</td>
<td>Latitude: 39.9°N Longitude: 105.9°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and longwave radiation</td>
<td>Elevation: 2780 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

temperature differences at Fraser Experimental Forest near Fraser, Colorado, U.S. (39.9°N, 105.9°W) [Pomeroy et al., 2009] for the two defined vegetation density extremes were regressed against modeled shortwave radiation at intermediate vegetation densities to obtain the variations of crown/trunk temperature differences with vegetation density. Additionally, sky and snow temperatures are assumed to be uniformly distributed across the control volume. Outgoing longwave radiation from snow on the forest floor to the atmosphere and tree is calculated based on [Gryning et al., 2001; Todhunter et al., 1992]

\[ \uparrow L_{\text{snow}} = \sigma e_{\text{snow}} T_{\text{snow}}^4, \quad (7) \]

where \( e_{\text{snow}} \) is snow emissivity (dimensionless) and \( T_{\text{snow}} \) is snow temperature (K). Snow surface emissivity is considered to be equal to 1.0 [Male and Granger, 1981], while no reflection of the longwave components from the snow surface is considered. In the analysis, snow temperature is set to dew point temperature (TdP) when TdP < 0°C and to zero otherwise.

[10] The incoming shortwave flux incident at the snow surface consists of two components, a direct beam, \( \downarrow S_{\text{dir}} \) (W m\(^{-2}\)), and a diffuse component, \( \downarrow S_{\text{dif}} \) (W m\(^{-2}\)), while \( \uparrow S_{\text{snow}} \) is outgoing shortwave radiation from snow (W m\(^{-2}\)). As the direct beam passes through the atmosphere and canopy, it is attenuated by both absorption and scattering processes. Direct shortwave radiation on the forest floor is evaluated using a probabilistic approach [Wilson, 1971] and is calculated based on [Essery et al., 2008b]

\[ \downarrow S_{\text{dir}} = S_{\text{dir,open}} \cos(\theta) P, \quad (8) \]

\[ P = e^{-G L_{\text{path}}}, \quad (9) \]

where \( P \) is the probability of a light beam not intersecting a canopy element; \( S_{\text{dir,open}} \) is direct shortwave radiation for open sky (W m\(^{-2}\)) which depends on time and site latitude, slope, and aspect [Kalogirou, 2009; Kumar et al., 1997]; \( \theta \) is the solar incidence angle (the angle between the Sun and normal to the surface); \( G \) is the projection coefficient of leaf orientation (dimensionless); \( \lambda \) is the effective foliage area volume density (m\(^{-1}\)); and \( L_{\text{path}} \) is the length of the solar beam passing through the canopy (m). Assuming a random orientation of leaves, \( G \) is assumed to equal to 0.5 in this analysis.

Open sky solar radiation at the land surface varies with solar zenith angle and changes in solar distance during a year and is influenced by latitude, slope, and aspect. Open sky direct solar radiation is calculated using [Kreith and Kreider, 2011]

\[ S_{\text{dir,open}} = \frac{S_{\text{ext}}}{1 - a_{\text{dir}}}, \quad (10) \]

where \( S_{\text{ext}} \) is extraterrestrial solar radiation and \( t_{\beta} \) is the atmospheric transmittance for beam radiation. For clear sky conditions, atmospheric transmittance is calculated using [Hottel, 1976]

\[ \tau_{\beta} = a_0 + a_1 e^{-\frac{\psi}{\tau_{\beta}}}, \quad (11) \]

\[ a_0 = 0.4237 - 0.00821(6 - A)^2, \quad (12) \]

\[ a_1 = 0.5055 + 0.00595(6.5 - A)^2, \quad (13) \]

\[ k = 0.2711 + 0.01858(2.5 - A)^2, \quad (14) \]

where \( \psi \) is solar zenith angle; \( a_0, a_1, \) and \( k \) are constant coefficients; and \( A \) is the altitude of the site in kilometers. Extraterrestrial solar radiation and its variation with location and time is evaluated based on Kalogirou [2009] and is presented in Appendix A. Diffuse shortwave radiation due to scattering is calculated based on [Essery et al., 2008b; Kumar et al., 1997]

\[ \downarrow S_{\text{dif}} = SVF S_{\text{ext}} \tau_{\delta} \cos(\delta) / \cos(\beta/2), \quad (15) \]

where \( \beta \) is the slope and \( \tau_{\delta} \) is the atmospheric diffusion factor. Considering that \( \tau_{\delta} \) is a linear function of \( t_{\beta} \) [Wong and Chow, 2001], a regression equation was derived from available data of clear sky days at the closest National Renewable Energy Laboratory site [NREL, 2012] in Maine (Table 2), to estimate \( \tau_{\delta} \) in clear sky conditions:

\[ \tau_{\delta} = 0.312 - 0.304 t_{\beta}. \quad (16) \]

[11] For cloudy sky conditions, \( \tau_{\delta} \) and \( t_{\beta} \) are directly extracted from NREL data by comparing diffuse and direct beam radiation with extraterrestrial radiation. Snow on the forest floor reflects a fraction of incoming direct and diffuse shortwave radiation and is calculated using [Hardy et al., 1997; Hardy et al., 2004; Todhunter et al., 1992]

\[ \downarrow S_{\text{snow}} = a_{\text{dir}} \downarrow S_{\text{dir}} + a_{\text{dif}} \downarrow S_{\text{dif}}, \quad (17) \]

where \( a_{\text{dir}} \) and \( a_{\text{dif}} \) are snow albedo (dimensionless) for diffuse and direct radiation, respectively. Taking into account the infinitely many reflections of shortwave radiation between the snow-covered forest floor and canopy [Bohren and Thorud, 1973], net shortwave radiation (\( S_{\text{Net}} \)) is calculated as

\[ S_{\text{Net}} = \downarrow S_{\text{dir}} (1 - \alpha_{\text{dir}}) \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{\text{dir}} (1 - \text{SVF})} + \downarrow S_{\text{dif}} (1 - \alpha_{\text{dif}}) \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{\text{dif}} (1 - \text{SVF})}, \quad (18) \]

where \( \alpha_{\text{dir}} \) is canopy albedo. Notably, two variables that change with vegetation density and have a large effect on NSRF are sky view factor (SVF) and path length \( (L_{\text{path}}) \). Sky view factor affects longwave radiation from the tree crowns, trunks, and sky, and diffuse shortwave radiation from the sky. Assuming that canopy structure is invariant throughout the year, SVF is only a function of location.
within a stand. Path length influences direct shortwave radiation. Since path length changes with the solar zenith angle and azimuth, $S_{\text{dir}}$ and $S_{\text{Net}}$ vary both spatially and temporally.

### 2.1. Path Length

The spatiotemporal distribution of path length is calculated using a ray-tracing method similar to the one discussed in Essery et al. [2008b] where path length calculations were performed for ellipsoidal crown shapes at a level site. Here, the formulation is extended to account for inclined topography and cylindrical tree shapes to represent a generic, yet physically reasonable, coniferous tree form. Path length, $L_{\text{path}}$, is obtained by calculating the absolute difference between two positive solutions of the parametric equation representing a cylindrical crown (Figure 2a). The parametric equation for the cylindrical crown form is represented by

$$
\frac{(x-x_t)^2 + (y-y_t)^2}{r_c^2} = 1, h_b \leq z \leq h,
$$

(19)

where $(x_t, y_t)$ is the coordinate of the stem, $h$ is tree height, $h_b$ is crown base height, and $r_c$ is crown radius. A quadratic equation for $l$ is obtained by replacing $x, y$, and $z$ in equation (19) with

$$
x = x_t + l \sin \psi \sin(Z - Z_s),
$$

(20)

$$
y = y_t \cos \beta - l \sin \psi \cos(Z - Z_s),
$$

(21)

$$
z = l \cos \psi + y_t \sin \beta,
$$

(22)

where $Z$ and $Z_s$ are the solar and surface azimuth angles, respectively. Equation (19) reduces to

$$
a l^2 + b l + c = 0,
$$

(23)

where $a, b,$ and $c$ are

$$
a = \frac{\sin \psi^2}{r_c^2},
$$

(24)

$$
b = \frac{2 \sin \psi (\cos(Z - Z_s)(y_t - y_s \cos \beta) + (x_t - x_s) \sin(Z - Z_s))}{r_c^2},
$$

(25)

$$
c = -r_c^2 + (x_t - x_s)^2 + y_t^2 + y_s \cos \beta (-2y_t + y_s \cos \beta).
$$

(26)

The discriminant of equation (23) is defined as

$$
\Delta = b^2 - 4ac.
$$

(27)

The path length for a single tree, $L_{\text{path}, 1}$, is the difference between two solutions of the quadratic equation and is calculated as

$$
L_{\text{path}, 1} = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\Delta} & \text{if } D > h \cos \beta \tan(\psi - \beta \cos(Z-Z_s)) = 0 \\
0 & \text{if } \Delta \leq 0
\end{cases}
$$

(28)

In a forested setting, path lengths have to be calculated to account for the passage of beam radiation through multiple crowns. Total path length (Figure 2b) is calculated at any location by adding path lengths through multiple crowns using

$$
L_{\text{path, crown}} = \sum \text{if } D > h \cos \beta \tan(\psi - \beta \cos(Z-Z_s)) \text{ } L_{\text{path}, 1}.
$$

(29)

where $(Z - Z_s)$ is the angle between the Sun and surface azimuths, and $D$ is the distance shown in Figure 2b. To calculate path length through tree trunks ($L_{\text{path,1, trunk}}$), $r_c$, $h_b$, and $h$ are replaced by $r_t$, 0, and $h_t$, respectively, through equations (19) to (28). Because of the nontransmissive trunks, if $L_{\text{path,1, trunk}} > 0$, $P$ in equation (9) equals 0.

### 2.2. Sky View Factor

The sky view factor (SVF) is the fraction of hemispherical sky that is viewable from a given point [Matzarakis and Matuschek, 2011]. A three-dimensional approach is used to estimate sky view factor for every grid cell on the forest floor. The hemispherical sky is meshed, and each discretized element is identified in polar coordinates (angular altitude and azimuth). The hemisphere is divided into 180 intervals along the altitude direction and 360 intervals along the azimuthal direction. On the hemisphere, a cell is marked blocked if a tree lies in the line of sight to it. Area blocked by the vegetation canopy in a certain direction ($\phi$) is identified as the subtended region between tree zenith ($\omega$) and ground along-altitude axis and between $\phi - d\phi$ and...
φ + dφ along the azimuth. Tree zenith angle (ω) and central azimuth (φ) are calculated by

\[
φ = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{P_y - T_y}{P_x - T_x} \right),
\]

(30)

\[
ω = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{PT \cdot PI}{PT \cdot PI} \right),
\]

(31)

where P is the location at which SVF is to be calculated, point T is the tree base, and point I is the top of the tree. Width of the area blocked by the canopy is 2dφ, where dφ is

\[
dφ = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{r_c}{(P_x - T_x)^2 + (P_y - T_y)^2} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

(32)

[17] The sky view factor calculation also accounts for incoming radiation transmitted through the canopy. A probabilistic ray-tracing method is used to estimate the sky view factor. The probability of seeing the sky, \( p(ω, φ) \), for open sky pixels is 1 and for blocked pixels is \( e^{-G \cdot L(ω, φ)} \). SVF is obtained by

\[
SVF = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma} \int_0^\frac{\pi}{2} p(ω, φ) \pi dω,\]

(33)

where γ is directional horizon angle and obtained using

\[
γ = \begin{cases} 
\sec^{-1} \left( \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 φ \tan^2 β} \right) & \text{for } \frac{π}{2} ≤ φ ≤ \frac{3π}{2} \\
-\sec^{-1} \left( \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 φ \tan^2 β} \right) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

(34)

[18] Similar to SVF, trunk view factor (TVF) is calculated when only tree trunk is considered. We call this algorithm to estimate sky view factor the SkyMap method.

2.3. Model Application

[19] Representative values of physical parameters including \( ε_{\text{snow}}, ε_{\text{can}}, α_{\text{dir}}, α_{\text{dif}} \) and \( α_{\text{c}} \) were used to calculate individual energy components of NSRF. Typical values of snow albedo and emissivity of canopy, sky, and snow are listed in Table 1. Snow albedo has a spectral variation from 0 to 0.9 depending on snow age, grain size, and wavelength [Warren and Wiscombe, 1980; Wiscombe and Warren, 1980]. An intermediate value of 0.4, representative of the seasonal average albedo in forested settings where debris deposition from the forest canopy is common [Melloh et al., 2002], was used in this analysis. Snow albedo for diffuse radiation, \( α_{\text{dif}} \) was set equal to 0.8 [Wang and Zeng, 2010]. Canopy albedo was set to 0.2 [Bohren and Thorud, 1973; Eck and Deering, 1990, 1992]. The emissivity of snow and canopy were set to 1.0 [Dozier and Warren, 1982; Sicart et al., 2006; Warren, 1982] and 0.98 [Pomeroy et al., 2009] respectively. Although these values for albedo and emissivity would likely differ for a given site at any given time in the snow season, they were selected as reasonable values in order to assess the relative sensitivity of snow cover net radiation to vegetation density. Clear sky emissivity depends on air temperature, pressure, and humidity [Marthews et al., 2012]. Daily clear sky emissivity (\( ε_{\text{sky, clear}} \)) is estimated using [Prata, 1996]

\[
ε_{\text{sky, clear}} = 1 - (1 + w)e^{-\sqrt{1 + 3w}},
\]

(35)

where w is precipitable water in the air (cm) and calculated as

Figure 3. Modeled above-canopy direct (top) and diffuse (bottom) shortwave radiation components versus NREL radiation data at Millinocket, ME, the closest NREL site to Greenville, ME. Comparison is performed for hourly data from 1990 to 2010. \( R^2 \) for direct and diffuse comparisons are 0.91 and 0.45, respectively.
spruce, which has a similar structure mated based on allometric relationships for Engelmann 

The variation of NSRF in relation to vegetation density was calculated for a white spruce forest stand near Greenville, ME (45.5°N). White spruce is a common tree species at midlatitudes to high latitudes in North America. This species is widely distributed in the boreal forest of North America ranging from mountainous areas in the west to coastal regions in the east. White spruce has a cylindrical crown shape and can grow up to 28 m in height and 6 m in width [Arbor Day Foundation, 2012; MFC, 1908]. This species and location were selected as a generic representation of midlatitude North American sites where there is considerable interest in understanding the effects of coniferous forest densities on seasonal snow energetics. In this analysis, we consider a typical tree dimension, with crown radius, \( r_c \), being equal to 3 m; tree height, \( h \), being equal to 24 m; and tree trunk radius, \( r_t \), being equal to 0.4 m. The model was run using a meteorological data set such as air temperature and relative humidity from National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) meteorological station near Greenville, ME [NCDC, 2012] and cloud cover data obtained from NREL [NREL, 2012]. The site has long-term air temperature (1977–2012) and solar radiation (1990–2010) data. Relevant information about the site is listed in Table 2. Given the absence of effective foliage area volume density \( \lambda \), equation (9)) information for white spruce, \( \lambda \) was estimated based on allometric relationships for Engelmann spruce, which has a similar structure [Kaufmann et al., 1982]:

\[
\lambda = \frac{0.975 \ h^2}{\pi r_c^2 \ d_c},
\]

where \( d_c \) is crown vertical depth and was assumed to be equal to 20 m. In this paper, the vegetation density is presented as \( 1/d \), where \( d \) is the distance between trees. Canopy closure (cc), an alternate metric, used in the literature [Reifsnyder and Lull, 1965] to quantify vegetation density, can be related to \( d \) as \( cc = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \).

[21] All the radiation components (equation (1)) were calculated on a 200 × 200 grid within a control volume (Figure 1b) for vegetation densities of \( 1/d \) ranging from 0.005 m \(^{-1} \) to 0.17 m \(^{-1} \), where \( d \) is distance between trees. The average net radiation from 21 December to 20 June, calculated on 40,000 grid cells, was then used to evaluate the variation of net radiation in relation to vegetation density \( (1/d) \). Comparison of \( S_{dir} \) and \( S_{dif} \) from the model (equations (10) to (16)) for open clear sky conditions against NREL data, at the site closest to Greenville, ME, showed an adequate match (Figure 3, \( R^2 = 0.91 \) for direct radiation and \( R^2 = 0.45 \) for diffuse radiation). Incoming shortwave radiations obtained from FoRM were compared against observed data, both above and underneath the canopy, in a uniform lodgepole pine forest at the Local Scale Observation Site (LSOS, [NSIDC, 2013]) in Fraser, CO, U.S. (39.9°N, 105.9°W, elevation = 2780 m). Measured incoming longwave radiations at the LSOS, both above the canopy and on the forest floor, were also compared with the radiation derived from the model. Details of the shortwave and longwave radiation observation experiments can be referred in Hardy et al. [2004] and Pomeroy et al. [2009], respectively. The model was run for representative tree geometry (\( h = 12.6 \) m, \( d_c = 8.8 \) m, \( r_c = 1.38 \) m, \( r_t = 0.18 \) m) and canopy closure (cc = 47%, \( d = 0.28 \) m \(^{-1} \)) at the site. Although shortwave radiation shows a strong spatial gradient at the site, the modeled shortwave radiation reasonably reproduces both the temporal trend (\( R^2 = 0.80 \)) and integrated mean observed data (1.5% difference in the total energy received at the forest floor, Figure 4a). The comparisons of longwave radiations between the model and the observations also show satisfactory correlations (\( R^2 = 0.81 \) for above the canopy and \( R^2 = 0.93 \) for the forest floor). Moreover, the difference of total longwave radiations as estimated by the model and the observations is minuscule (0.6% for above the canopy and 1.2% at the forest floor, Figure 4b).
Due to logistical challenges associated with the measurement of distributed radiation across a range of vegetation densities, especially for different slopes and aspects, net shortwave radiation for a range of vegetation densities remain unvalidated. It is to be noted that the equations used to calculate the individual radiation components in FoRM have been previously validated in the referenced literature and given the acceptable validation at control sites; this model is considered to be reasonable to advance insight into the controls of vegetation density on net snow cover radiation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Vegetation Density Influence on Net Radiation at the Forest Floor for Clear Sky Conditions

The spatiotemporal variation in ↓Sdir is primarily due to variation in Sdir,open and shading fractions. Figure 5 shows the temporal variation in Sdir at two orthogonal points on the forest floor (P1, P2) that are located at a distance of d/2 and d/4, respectively, from a tree in the spatial domain shown in Figure 6. The two points provide information about the spatiotemporal variation in radiation for a relatively sparse forest at locations that are at distinct distances and directions from an individual tree. ↓Sdir at these two points is equal to Sdir,open, unless they are shaded. The magnitude of ↓Sdir in shaded regions is dependent on path length (L_path), which changes with Sun angle and tree spacing. As the number of trees along the direct beam path increases, L_path also increases, and hence ↓Sdir is less due to absorption and scattering by the canopy. For example, P1 is shaded around noon, by both the center tree and the tree directly south of it (see Figure 6). As a result, over time, the path length first increases due to the solar beam passing through one tree crown and then through two tree crowns, resulting in a dome-shaped variation in L_path that peaks at solar noon (Figure 5a). For the same period, ↓Sdir at P2 is markedly different. Notably, during early and late hours of the day when the Sun is closer to the horizon, L_path increases to a very high value at both P1 and P2 (Figures 5a and 5b). Both increase in ↓Sdir and decrease in shading fraction contribute to an increasing trend in net shortwave radiation with decreasing solar zenith angle on a flat forest floor. The phenomenon is evident in Figure 6 where in the morning of 21 December (9:00 A.M. local standard time), shadows are relatively long and oriented in the northwest direction. At this time, 69% of the control volume basal area is shaded, and the ratio of average shortwave radiation in the forest to an open area, or forest transmissivity (τ), is about 37%. At noon, tree shadows are smaller, hence the shading fraction is only 25% of the control volume area, and τ = 76%. In the afternoon (2:00 P.M. local standard time), tree shadows start increasing again and shading fraction increases to 62% of the control volume area. In this case, τ reduces to 46%. For the same time of the day in spring, shading fraction is lesser and forest transmissivity is greater, relative to the winter, due to higher Sun angles (Figure 6).

Irrespective of the timing, shading fraction on the forest floor increases with increasing vegetation density.
(larger $1/d$), hence $\downarrow S_{\text{dir}}$ decays monotonically with increasing $1/d$ (Figure 7a). Increase in $1/d$ also leads to a decrease in sky view factor. Therefore, $\downarrow S_{\text{diff}}$ also decreases in high-density forests (equation (14)). The outgoing shortwave radiation component, $\uparrow S_{\text{snow}}$, also decreases with increasing $1/d$, as it is proportional to the summation of $\downarrow S_{\text{diff}}$ and $\downarrow S_{\text{dir}}$ (equation (17)). As both incoming shortwave components and the proportional outgoing component exhibit a monotonic decreasing trend with vegetation density, $S_{\text{Net}}$ decreases with increasing $1/d$ as expected (Figure 7b).

[25] The three longwave components vary differently in relation to vegetation density. $\downarrow L_{\text{sky}}$ varies inversely with $1/d$. This is because $\downarrow L_{\text{sky}}$ is directly proportional to the sky view factor that decreases with a denser tree spacing. On the other hand, $\downarrow L_{\text{crown}}$ and $\downarrow L_{\text{trunk}}$ increase in denser forests. Because of the higher emissivity of the canopy and trunk relative to the sky,
longwave radiation from trees ($L_{\text{tree}} = L_{\text{crown}} + L_{\text{trunk}}$) in very dense forests (SVF → 0) is greater than $L_{\text{sky}}$ in the open area (SVF = 1), and $L_{\text{Net}}$ (see equation (3)) therefore increases with $1/d$, as expected (Figure 7b).

[26] The increasing $L_{\text{Net}}$ and decreasing $S_{\text{Net}}$ trends with $1/d$ leads to an interesting variation in NSRF. Due to a small shading fraction at very low vegetation densities ($1/d < 0.02 \text{ m}^{-1}$ or cc < 2%), the rate of decrease in $S_{\text{Net}}$ is relatively less than the rate of increase in $L_{\text{Net}}$. Therefore, the maximum NSRF occurs for very sparse forests ($1/d > 0.02 \text{ m}^{-1}$ or cc < 2%) and is around 5% more than in open areas; however, for forests with density $1/d > 0.02 \text{ m}^{-1}$ (cc > 2%), NSRF exhibits a different trend with changing vegetation density. In sparser forests (lower $1/d$) where $S_{\text{Net}}$ is relatively large and $L_{\text{Net}}$ is negative, NSRF decreases in relation to $1/d$. In denser forests (higher $1/d$), $S_{\text{Net}}$ is negligible and NSRF increases with increase in $L_{\text{Net}}$ as vegetation density further increases. As a result, NSRF is minimum for intermediate forest densities ($1/d = 0.12 \text{ m}^{-1}$ or cc = 40%) for clear sky conditions. At the minima, NSRF is about 74% less than the open areas and 23% less than very dense forests ($1/d > 0.16 \text{ m}^{-1}$ or cc > 75%). Occurrence of a minimum in intermediately dense forests with about 40% canopy closure ($1/d \approx 0.12 \text{ m}^{-1}$) is similar to results derived from an empirical model in lodgepole pine forests in California ~39°N [USACE, 1956] and the reported results for a coniferous forest in Oregon ~44°N [Reifsnyder and Lull, 1965].

[27] The noted trends of net radiation and magnitude of optimal vegetation densities that minimize NSRF obtained here are dependent on the time period of analysis and the representative values of parameters (e.g., snow albedo) used in the radiation calculations. Notably, since both $L_{\text{sky}}$ and $L_{\text{tree}} (= L_{\text{crown}} + L_{\text{trunk}})$ vary with the fourth power of $T_{\text{air}}$, the relative contribution of $L_{\text{Net}}$ and $S_{\text{Net}}$ is dependent on $T_{\text{air}}$. As a result, the variation of both NSRF with $1/d$ and the density at which NSRF is minimized, is also dependent on $T_{\text{air}}$. Considering all other parameters to be the same, increase in average air temperature for the site will increase $L_{\text{Net}}$. Increase in $L_{\text{Net}}$ due to temperature effects is higher in dense forests than sparse forests (because of $\varepsilon_{\text{sky}} < \varepsilon_{\text{can}}$), resulting in the occurrence of the NSRF minima at lower vegetation densities (Figure 8a). The results are also affected by the assumption of clear sky conditions during the entire snow season. Although the majority of the radiation during the analysis period is received during late spring and early summer which are generally characterized by clear days, a large number of cloudy days will increase the sky emissivity and
Net and decrease incoming shortwave radiation and $S_{Net}$. In this scenario, the minimum NSRF may occur at a very low vegetation densities followed by a monotonic increasing trend with vegetation density which is discussed in section 3.2. Differences may also be expected for different canopy and trunk temperatures. For warmer canopy and trunk temperatures, with temperature difference to air temperature being twice of what was considered in the base simulation, the increase in $L_{Net}$ is larger in very dense forests ($\Delta L_{Net} \approx +6 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) rather than very sparse forests ($\Delta L_{Net} \approx +0.5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), resulting in a marginal decrease in the optimal density where radiation to snow is minimized. For colder canopy and trunk ($T_{\text{can}} = T_{\text{air}}$) conditions, $L_{Net}$ changes with the similar trend but in the opposite direction ($\Delta L_{Net} \approx -6 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ in very dense forests and $\Delta L_{Net} \approx -0.5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ in very sparse forests), leading to a higher optimal density. Due to gradual changes in $\Delta L_{Net}$ from low to high densities, changes in the optimal density with $T_{\text{can}}$ are negligible (Figure 8b). Differences in snow temperature ($\delta T_{\text{snow}}$) affects NSRF by uniformly increasing or decreasing the $L_{Net} = 4\sigma T_{\text{snow}}^3 \delta T_{\text{snow}}$ for all vegetation densities, while $S_{Net}$ remains unchanged. This will lead to a uniform change in NSRF and hence no change in the optimal density (Figure 8c). We also note that an average snow albedo of 0.4 was used for the duration of analysis. Accounting for temporal variations in albedo over the entire snow season resulting from variations due to snow aging and angular effects will influence the outgoing shortwave radiation and hence the variation of NSRF with $1/d$. Higher albedos reduce $S_{Net}$, leading to decrease in NSRF and the optimal density (Figure 8d). Similarly, $S_{Net}$ is reduced by clumping (e.g., conifers; low $G$s) and in species with relatively scattered canopy structure (low $\lambda$s), leading to decrease in NSRF and the optimal density (the data are not shown). Despite these assumptions, this research clearly indicates that a net radiation minimum can occur in forests with intermediate densities and that physically based radiation transfer modeling based on discrete trees can be used to assess how the minimum point varies as a function of key variables that control snow cover energetics. Future work geared toward refining and accounting for snowmelt timing changes with $1/d$ will consider coupled changes in albedo, radiation, and snow cover dynamics.

3.2. Vegetation Density Influence on Net Radiation at the Forest Floor for Interspersed Clear and Cloudy Sky Conditions

[28] To consider effects of sky cloudiness on NSRF, the model was run using daily cloud cover data obtained from the closest National Renewable Energy Laboratory station to the studied site in Maine. Daily averaged $r_d$ and $r_h$ were also derived from NREL data set to estimate direct and diffuse shortwave components for cloudy sky conditions.
Corrected sky emissivity was calculated using equation (36). Results suggest that compared to clear sky conditions, all incoming radiation components are affected by interspersed cloud cover. Cloud cover leads to higher sky emissivity resulting in an increase in $L_{\text{sky}}$, while lower insolation may cause a decrease in canopy temperature contributing to a minor decrease in $L_{\text{crown}}$ and $L_{\text{trunk}}$ [Pomeroy et al., 2009]. As a result, increase in $L_{\text{Net}}$ in open areas was ~15% larger compared to clear sky conditions. Effect on $L_{\text{Net}}$ is negligible in very dense forests ($d > 0.16 \text{ m} /C_0$) (Figures 7b and 7d). Shortwave radiation components are also influenced by cloud cover. Cloud cover reduces the direct shortwave component by increasing atmospheric extinction and enhances the diffuse shortwave component by increasing atmospheric scattering. As a result, global incoming shortwave radiation ($\downarrow S_{\text{dir}} + \downarrow S_{\text{dif}}$) decreases by ~52% compared to clear sky conditions (Figures 7b and 7d). Since the rate of decrease in shortwave radiation is markedly larger than rate of increase in longwave radiation, NSRF shows a monotonic increasing behavior with increasing vegetation density (Figure 7d). For locations with higher average of cloud cover than the studied site (the average cloud cover for Greenville, ME is about 56%), NSRF is expected to expose a relatively rapid increase with vegetation density rather than the obtained results.

3.3. Effect of Slope on the Variation of Net Radiation in Relation to Tree Spacing

[29] To understand the impact of slope on variation in net radiation in relation to vegetation density, NSRF was calculated for a range of $1/d$ values on an inclined south facing hill-slope. Four different slope angles ($0^\circ$, $15^\circ$, $30^\circ$, and $45^\circ$) were considered. Since forests are uncommon on very steep hillslopes, the maximum slope angle was limited to $45^\circ$. Changes in slope angle influence sky view factor, solar incidence angle ($\theta$), shading fraction, and hence NSRF. [30] For all slope angles considered in this experiment, SVF decreases with increasing vegetation density ($1/d$) as expected (Figure 9a). For lower forest densities where the distance between trees is large, the difference in sky view factor for different slope angles is very small. In relatively dense forests ($1/d > 0.06 \text{ m}^{-1}$ or $cc > 10\%$), as slope increases, the angles subtended by the southern and northern trees increases and decreases, respectively. Since the rate of increase of the subtended angle by the southern trees are larger, sky view
factor decreases with increasing slope angle in denser forests. As noted in section 3.1, \( L_{\text{sky}} \) and \( L_{\text{tree}} \) are proportional to SVF and \( \frac{1}{C_0} \) SVF, respectively. On the other hand, \( L_{\text{snow}} \) is independent of SVF and hence to slope variations (equation (7)). Since \( \varepsilon_{\text{crown}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{trunk}} \) are greater than \( \varepsilon_{\text{sky}} \), under clear sky conditions, \( L_{\text{Net}} \) follows the trend of \( L_{\text{can}} \) and increases with decreasing SVF. As a result, SVF decreases in slope, and \( L_{\text{Net}} \) increases slightly with increasing slope (Figure 9a). Solar incidence angle \( \theta \) is also influenced by changes in slope. Seasonally averaged \( \theta \) at noon shows that \( \theta \) decreases quickly with increase in slope from zero to 30° (Figure 9c, inset). Between slope angles of 30° and 45° (close to the site latitude), \( \theta \) decreases at a lower rate. Shading fraction also varies with slope. Seasonally averaged shading fraction during daytime hours shows a decreasing trend for higher slopes (Figure 9b, inset). Furthermore, \( L_{\text{path}} \) is also smaller for higher slopes. Since solar incidence angle \( \theta \) and shading fraction are largest for level forests, \( S_{\text{dir}} \) is smallest (equation (8)) for this slope case. Increasing slope therefore increases \( S_{\text{dir}} \) on the south facing hillslope. Since the radiation components are averaged from solstice to solstice, direct shortwave radiation is maximum for slope = 45°, at the modeling site. Furthermore, \( S_{\text{dir}} \) exhibits a decreasing trend with increase in slope (data not shown) because of decreasing SVF and \( \cos(\beta/2) \) (see equation (15)). Since the comparative magnitude of \( S_{\text{dir}} \) is more than \( S_{\text{dir}} \), both \( S_{\text{snow}} \) and \( S_{\text{Net}} \) increase with slope (Figure 9b).

Table 3. Minimum Radiation on Different North and South Facing Slopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope Angle</th>
<th>Minimum NSRF ( 1/d ) (m(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Proportion of Radiation Relative to Open Area (%)</th>
<th>Proportion of Radiation Relative to Very Dense Forest (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0° (level forest)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15° south facing</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30° south facing</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45° south facing</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15° north facing</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30° north facing</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>-92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45° north facing</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>-311%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Effect of aspect on the variability of radiation with vegetation densities beneath forest canopies on a 15° slope: (a) net longwave radiation and sky view factor in clear sky conditions, (b) net shortwave radiation and shading fraction in clear sky conditions, (c) net radiation in clear sky conditions (inset figure shows solar incidence angle at noon), and (d) net radiation when sky cloudiness is considered. The 0° and 180° aspects respectively indicate south and north facing slopes. All radiation values are averaged over the winter to summer solstices period.
The ratio of net radiation at the vegetation density that minimizes $S_{Net}$, relative to radiation at an unforested site increases with slope angle. In forests with hill slopes of 15°, net radiation on the forest floor at $1/d = 0.13$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $\approx 47\%$ is about 78% less than the amount in open areas but only about 10% less in dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$). For a slope angle equal to 30°, forests with $1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $\approx 72\%$ are observed to have the minimum NSRF, and increasing vegetation density beyond this point has a negligible effect on NSRF, so this vegetation density effectively represents the point at which NSRF plateaus. The minimum NSRF is about 78% less than net radiation under open conditions. For an even higher slope angle of 45°, minimum radiation is obtained for very sparse or very dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$) with magnitude of 78% less than NSRF in open areas. The vegetation density at which NSRF is minimized increases steadily from 0.12 m$^{-1}$ to 0.16 m$^{-1}$ with increasing slope (Table 3). This is due to a larger increase in $S_{Net}$ than increase in $L_{Net}$ with slopes for sparser tree densities. For very dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$), net shortwave radiation is mainly diffuse and is close to zero, and hence the changes in the NSRF with slope in this setting are mostly governed by $L_{Net}$, $L_{Net}$ in dense forests changes only marginally with different slopes. Hence, NSRF for different slopes are very similar for the highest canopy densities where $1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$ (Figure 9c). When sky cloudiness is considered, $L_{Net}$ and $S_{Net}$ show an increasing trend with increasing slope angle, similar to clear sky conditions. Additionally, changes in NSRF with aspect for very sparse forests ($1/d < 0.02$ m$^{-1}$) are larger than changes in very dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$). Since increases in net shortwave radiation with slope are much larger than increases in longwave radiation, NSRF shows a nonmonotonic trend for higher slopes. For higher slopes, in very sparse and very dense forests, the rate of increase in $L_{Net}$ is dominant to the rate decrease in $S_{Net}$ resulting in a local maximum in low-density and a local minimum in high-density forests. For slope angles of 30° and 45°, although the minimum radiation is still significant, there are a strong local minimum is exhibited at intermediate vegetation density ($1/d = 0.10$ m$^{-1}$, Figure 9d).

**3.4. Effect of Aspect on Radiation**

Variability of NSRF with vegetation density for different aspects was assessed using seven different orientations ranging from 0° to 180°, for a 15° forest slope. For this assessment, 0° indicates a south facing slope, while 180° represents a north facing slope. We note that a 15° slope angle was chosen as a typical mountainous site so that the influence of aspect on variability of NSRF with vegetation density could be demonstrated.

Aspect does not affect sky view factor; hence, for all aspects, longwave radiation components are the same as for south facing forests (Figure 10a). However, different aspects strongly affect solar incidence angle ($\theta$), $L_{path}$, and hence shading fraction and transmissivity. Increasing both solar incidence angle and shading fraction reduces $S_{dir}$ for increasing aspects (Figures 10b and 10c). The minimum $S_{dir}$ is observed for the north facing hillslope as would be expected. Since both sky view factor and slope remain unchanged for different hillslope aspects, $S_{dir}$ is independent of orientation angle. $S_{snow}$ is proportional to $S_{dir}$ and hence it decreases with increasing aspect. As a result, as aspect angle increases (from 0° to 180°), $S_{Net}$ decreases and exhibits a minimum value for north facing forests as would be expected (Figure 10b).

Monotonic increasing and decreasing trends of $L_{Net}$ and $S_{Net}$ with $1/d$ again lead to a minimum in NSRF for intermediate forest densities (Table 4). As noted in section 3.3, on a south facing hillslope (slope=15°), NSRF is minimum for $1/d = 0.13$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $\approx 47\%$. In this case, net NSRF is about 78% less than in open areas but only about 10% less in dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $> 75\%$). On hillslopes with an aspect angle, $Z_S$, of 30°, forests with $1/d \approx 0.13$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $\approx 47\%$ have a minimum NSRF that is 77% less than net radiation in open areas and about 10% less than in the dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$) evaluated in this study. As aspect angle increases, the minimum NSRF occurs at lower vegetation densities. In other words, north facing forests have minimum NSRF for sparser tree densities than for south facing forests (Figure 10c). This is because more shortwave radiation is attenuated in sparse forests due to the relatively long path lengths on north facing slopes, whereas the longwave radiation emitted by the forest canopy is controlled by vegetation density and is not affected by aspect. Again, for very dense forests ($1/d > 0.16$ m$^{-1}$), NSRF for different aspects are the same, similar to what has been previously observed [Ellis et al., 2011]. When sky cloudiness is considered, similar to clear sky conditions, increasing aspects lead to decreases in $S_{Net}$. Since the decrease in $S_{Net}$ is larger for sparser densities, the monotonic increasing trend of NSRF with vegetation density is sustained for all aspects (Figure 10d).

**4. Summary and Conclusions**

A spatially distributed physically based model (FoRM) was run for a simulated white spruce forest stand near Greenville, ME, to develop a general understanding of how net snow cover radiation is sensitive to vegetation density, slope, and aspect in midlatitude coniferous forests. The results showed that in clear sky conditions minimum net radiation on the forest floor is observed for denser forests ($1/d > 0.12$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $> 40\%$) with increasing slope to the south. When sky cloudiness was considered, minimum radiation occurs in open areas and very sparse forests. However, a local minimum is exhibited at an intermediate vegetation density ($1/d = 0.10$ m$^{-1}$ or cc $\approx 28\%$) for high slope angles (30° and 45°). As the aspect of hillslopes change from south facing to north facing, in clear sky conditions, north facing forests have minimum net radiation.
for sparser tree densities than that for south facing forests. When sky cloudiness is considered, net radiation increases almost linearly for north facing forests. Additionally, the variation of net radiation with vegetation density when cloudiness is considered is significantly less than that in clear sky conditions.

[36] The results suggest that appropriate forest management strategies that are aware of local climate factors, may be used to modulate radiation on the forest floor, and hence manage the melt rate of deposited snow. In managed white spruce level forests at ~45°N latitude with a vegetation density of about 1/4 m$^{-1}$ equivalent to about 40% canopy closure, net radiation is less than 26% of the amount in open areas (for clear sky conditions) and about 77% of the amount in dense forests (1/4 m$^{-1}$ or cc > 75%). Results also indicate that lower and higher densities are required to minimize net snow cover radiation on north and south facing slopes, respectively. These results should be used with caution when determining optimal densities to retain snow. Further sensitivity analyses should be performed to evaluate the effect of uncertainties in data and model structure, and in length of the snow season before management strategies are implemented for a specific location.

Appendix A: Calculation of Extradterrestrial Radiation and Solar Angles

[37] Extradterrestrial radiation for the $N$th day of the year is evaluated using [Kalogirou, 2009]

$$S_{\text{ext}} = S_c \left[ 1 + 0.033 \cos \left( \frac{360N}{365} \right) \right],$$

where $S_c = 1366.1$ W/m$^2$ is solar constant. Solar incidence angle $\theta$, the angle between the Sun's rays and the normal on a surface, is calculated for an inclined slope $\beta$ with aspect $Z_s$ using [Kalogirou, 2009]

$$\cos(\theta) = \sin(L) \sin(\delta) \cos(\beta) - \cos(L) \sin(\delta) \sin(\beta) \cos(Z_s) + \cos(L) \cos(\delta) \cos(h) \cos(\beta) + \sin(L) \cos(\delta) \sin(h) \sin(\beta) \cos(Z_s) + \cos(\delta) \sin(h) \sin(\beta) \sin(Z_s).$$

where $\delta$ is solar declination angle, $h$ is solar hour angle, and $L$ is latitudinal location. Solar declination angle (degree) for the $N$th day of the year is calculated by

$$\delta = 23.45 \sin \left( \frac{360}{365} \left( 284 + N \right) \right).$$

[38] Solar hour angle is

$$h = 15(\text{AST} / 60 - 12),$$

where AST is the apparent solar time (minute). Apparent solar time is estimated using

$$\text{AST} = \text{LST} + \text{ET} + 4(\text{SL} - \text{LL}) - \text{DS},$$

where LST is local standard time (minute), ET is equation of time (minute), SL is standard longitude, LL is local longitude, and DS is day light saving amount (minute). The value of the equation of time is obtained using

$$\text{ET} = 9.87 \sin(2B) - 7.53 \cos(B) - 1.5 \sin(B),$$

$$B = (N - 81) \frac{360}{364}.$$

[39] Solar zenith angle ($\psi$) for the latitude $L$ is approximated by solving

$$\cos(\psi) = \sin(L) \sin(\delta) + \cos(L) \cos(\delta) \cos(h).$$

[40] Solar azimuth angle is evaluated by solving

$$\sin(z) = \cos(\delta) \sin(h) \sin(\psi).$$
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