Internet Appendix

"PICKING FRIENDS BEFORE PICKING (PROXY) FIGHTS: HOW MUTUAL FUND VOTING SHAPES PROXY CONTESTS"

Alon Brav, Wei Jiang, Tao Li, James Pinnington*

This Internet Appendix provides supplemental and robustness tests to accompany the results in the paper.

^{*} Alon Brav is the Peterjohn-Richards Professor of Finance at Fuqua School of Business, Duke University, ECGI and NBER. He can be reached at brav@duke.edu. Wei Jiang is the Arthur F. Burns Professor of Free and Competitive Enterprise, Finance and Economics Division, Columbia Business School, ECGI and NBER. She can be reached at wj2006@columbia.edu. Tao Li is Assistant Professor of Finance at Warrington College of Business, the University of Florida. He can be reached at Tao.Li@warrington.ufl.edu. James Pinnington is a Ph.D. candidate in Finance at Duke University. He can be reached at james.pinnington@duke.edu.

Internet Appendix A1. Sample Voting Records

This appendix provides samples from four N-PX files for funds submitting their voting records in the DuPont May/13/2015 proxy fight.

Sample N-PX #1: Voting by the *Vanguard Institutional Total Stock Market Index Fund* submitted in the annual report of proxy voting record by the Vanguard Institutional Index Funds. Available at: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/862084/000093247115007129/institutionalindexfunds0870.htm

FOR

ISSUER: E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

TICKER: DD CUSIP: 263534109

MEETING DATE: 5/13/2015

PROPOSAL:	PROPOSED BY	VOTED?	VOTE CAST	FOR /AGAINST MGMT
PROPOSAL #1.1: ELECT DIRECTOR LAMBERTO ANDREOTTI	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.2: ELECT DIRECTOR EDWARD D. BREEN	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.3: ELECT DIRECTOR ROBERT A. BROWN	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.4: ELECT DIRECTOR ALEXANDER M. CUTLER	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.5: ELECT DIRECTOR ELEUTHERE I. DU PONT	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.6: ELECT DIRECTOR JAMES L. GALLOGLY	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.7: ELECT DIRECTOR MARILLYN A. HEWSON	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.8: ELECT DIRECTOR LOIS D. JULIBER	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.9: ELECT DIRECTOR ELLEN J. KULLMAN	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.10: ELECT DIRECTOR ULF M. SCHNEIDER	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.11: ELECT DIRECTOR LEE M. THOMAS	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.12: ELECT DIRECTOR PATRICK J. WARD	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #2: RATIFY AUDITORS	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #3: ADVISORY VOTE TO RATIFY NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' COMPENSATION	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #4: REPORT ON LOBBYING PAYMENTS AND POLICY	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #5: REPORT ON HERBICIDE USE ON GMO CROPS	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #6: ESTABLISH COMMITTEE ON PLANT CLOSURES	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #7: REPEAL AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S BYLAWS ADOPTED WITHOUT STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL AFTER AUGUST 12, 2013	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.1: ELECT DIRECTOR NELSON PELTZ	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.2: ELECT DIRECTOR JOHN H. MYERS	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.3: ELECT DIRECTOR ARTHUR B. WINKLEBLACK	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.4: ELECT DIRECTOR ROBERT J. ZATTA	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A

PROPOSAL #1.5: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE – LAMBERTO ANDREOTTI	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.6: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - EDWARD D. B	REEN ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.7: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - ELEUTHERE I. PONT	DU ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.8: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - JAMES L. GAL	LOGLY ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.9: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - MARILLYN A. HEWSON	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.10: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - ELLEN J. KUI	LLMAN ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.11: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - ULF M. SCHN	IEIDER ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.12: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - PATRICK J. V	VARD ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #2: RATIFY AUDITORS	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #3: ADVISORY VOTE TO RATIFY NAMED EXEC OFFICERS' COMPENSATION	CUTIVE ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #4: REPORT ON LOBBYING PAYMENTS AND PO	OLICY SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #5: REPORT ON HERBICIDE USE ON GMO CROI	PS SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #6: ESTABLISH COMMITTEE ON PLANT CLOSU	RES SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #7: REPEAL AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPANY BYLAWS ADOPTED WITHOUT STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL AUGUST 12, 2013	=	NO	N/A	N/A

Sample N-PX #2: Voting by the *Vanguard S&P 500 Growth Index Fund* submitted in the annual report of proxy voting record by the Vanguard Admiral Funds. Available at: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/891190/000093247115006938/admiralfunds1841.htm

ISSUER: E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

TICKER: DD CUSIP: 263534109

MEETING DATE: 5/13/2015

PROPOSAL:	PROPOSED BY	VOTED?	VOTE CAST	FOR /AGAINST MGMT
PROPOSAL #1.1: ELECT DIRECTOR LAMBERTO ANDREOTTI	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.2: ELECT DIRECTOR EDWARD D. BREEN	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.3: ELECT DIRECTOR ROBERT A. BROWN	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.4: ELECT DIRECTOR ALEXANDER M. CUTLER	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.5: ELECT DIRECTOR ELEUTHERE I. DU PONT	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.6: ELECT DIRECTOR JAMES L. GALLOGLY	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.7: ELECT DIRECTOR MARILLYN A. HEWSON	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.8: ELECT DIRECTOR LOIS D. JULIBER	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.9: ELECT DIRECTOR ELLEN J. KULLMAN	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.10: ELECT DIRECTOR ULF M. SCHNEIDER	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.11: ELECT DIRECTOR LEE M. THOMAS	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.12: ELECT DIRECTOR PATRICK J. WARD	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #2: RATIFY AUDITORS	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #3: ADVISORY VOTE TO RATIFY NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' COMPENSATION	ISSUER	YES	FOR	FOR
PROPOSAL #4: REPORT ON LOBBYING PAYMENTS AND POLICY	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #5: REPORT ON HERBICIDE USE ON GMO CROPS	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #6: ESTABLISH COMMITTEE ON PLANT CLOSURES	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #7: REPEAL AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S BYLAWS ADOPTED WITHOUT STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL AFTER AUGUST 12, 2013	SHAREHOLDER	YES	AGAINST	FOR
PROPOSAL #1.1: ELECT DIRECTOR NELSON PELTZ	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.2: ELECT DIRECTOR JOHN H. MYERS	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.3: ELECT DIRECTOR ARTHUR B. WINKLEBLACK	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.4: ELECT DIRECTOR ROBERT J. ZATTA	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.5: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE – LAMBERTO ANDREOTTI	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.6: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - EDWARD D. BREEN	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.7: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - ELEUTHERE I. DU PONT	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A

PROPOSAL #1.8: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - JAMES L. GALLOGLY	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.9: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - MARILLYN A. HEWSON	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.10: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - ELLEN J. KULLMAN	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.11: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - ULF M. SCHNEIDER	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #1.12: MANAGEMENT NOMINEE - PATRICK J. WARD	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #2: RATIFY AUDITORS	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #3: ADVISORY VOTE TO RATIFY NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' COMPENSATION	ISSUER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #4: REPORT ON LOBBYING PAYMENTS AND POLICY	SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #5: REPORT ON HERBICIDE USE ON GMO CROPS	SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #6: ESTABLISH COMMITTEE ON PLANT CLOSURES	SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A
PROPOSAL #7: REPEAL AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S BYLAWS ADOPTED WITHOUT STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL AFTER AUGUST 12, 2013	SHAREHOLDER	NO	N/A	N/A

Sample N-PX #3: Voting by *Northern Lights Fund Trust III - Persimmon Long/Short Fund* submitted in the annual report of proxy voting record by the Northern Lights Fund Trust III. Available at: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1537140/000158064215003790/nlftiiinpx1.htm

Registrant: NORTHERN LIGHTS FUND TRUST III - Persimmon Long/Short Fund

Item 1, Exhibit 7

Investment Company Act file number: 811-22655 Reporting Period: July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015

Security Ficker Symbol SIN Record Date City / SEDOL(s)	263534109 DD US2635341090 17-Mar-2015 Country / United States	Meeting Type Meeting Date Agenda Holding Recon Date Vote Deadline Date Quick Code	Contested-Annual 13-May-2015 934154092 - Management 17-Mar-2015 12-May-2015
tem	Proposal	Proposed Vote	For/Against Management
	DIRECTOR	Management	
	1 LAMBERTO ANDREOTTI	•	
	2 EDWARD D. BREEN		
	3 ROBERT A. BROWN		
	4 ALEXANDER M. CUTLER		
	5 ELEUTHERE I. DU PONT		
	6 JAMES L. GALLOGLY		
	7 MARILLYN A. HEWSON 8 LOIS D. JULIBER		
	9 ELLEN J. KULLMAN		
	10 ULF M. SCHNEIDER		
	11 LEE M. THOMAS		
	12 PATRICK J. WARD		
2.	ON RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	Management	
3.	TO APPROVE, BY ADVISORY VOTE,	Management	
	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	-	
l.	ON LOBBYING	Shareholder	
j.	ON GROWER COMPLIANCE	Shareholder	
	ON PLANT CLOSURES	Shareholder	
⁷ .	ON REPEALING CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO THE BYLAWS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD WITHOUT STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL	Shareholder	

Security Ticker Symbol ISIN Record Date City / SEDOL(s)	263534109 DD US2635341090 17-Mar-2015 Country / United States	Meeting Type Meeting Date Agenda Holding Recon Date Vote Deadline Date Quick Code	Contested-Annual 13-May-2015 934155955 - Opposition 17-Mar-2015 12-May-2015
Item	Proposal	Proposed Vote For/Agains by Manageme	
1	DIRECTOR 1 NELSON PELTZ 2 JOHN H. MYERS 3 ARTHUR B. WINNLEBLACK 4 ROBERT J. ZATTA 5 MGT NOM: L. ANDREOTTI 6 MGT NOM: E.D. BREEN 7 MGT NOM: E.I. DU PONT 8 MGT NOM: B.I. DU PONT 8 MGT NOM: J. L GALLOGLY 9 MGT NOM: MA HEWSON 10 MGT NOM: E.J. KULLMAN 11 MGT NOM: E.J. KULLMAN 11 MGT NOM: D.J. SCHNEIDER 12 MGT NOM: P.J. WARD	Management For For Withheld Against Withheld Against Withheld Against For	
2	ON RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	Management For	
3	TO APPROVE, BY ADVISORY VOTE, EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	Management For	
4	ON LOBBYING	Management Against	
5	ON GROWER COMPLIANCE	Management Against	
6 7	ON PLANT CLOSURE TO REPEAL EACH PROVISION OR	Management Against Management For For	
,	TO REPEAL EACH PROVISION OR AMENDMENT OF THE BYLAWS OF THE COMPANY ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY (AND NOT BY THE COMPANYS STOCKHOLDERS) SUBSEQUENT TO AUGUST 12, 2013 AND PRIOR TO THE APPROVAL OF THIS RESOLUTION.	Management For For	

Sample N-PX #4: Voting by *Northern Lights Fund Trust III – The Covered Bridge Fund* submitted in the annual report of proxy voting record by the Northern Lights Fund Trust III. Available at: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1537140/000158064215003790/nlftiiinpx1.htm

	gistrant: <u>NORTHERN LIGHTS FU</u> estment Company Act file number:		- The Covered	Bridge Fund						Item 1, Exhibit
	porting Period: July 1, 2014 through									
			FORM N	-PX - PROXY VOTIN	G REC	CORD REQUIREMENTS				
	(a) Issuer's Name	(b) Exchange Ticker Symbol	(c)"CUSIP" #	(d) Shareholder Meeting Date		(e) Matter Identification	(f) Proposal Type	(g) Voted	(h) Vote Cast	(i) For/Again Managemen
21	E. I. Dupont de Nemours & Co	DD	263534109	Annual; 5/13/2015	1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.10 1.11 1.12 2 3 4 5 6	Election of Directors Lamberto Andreotti Edward D. Breen Robert A. Brown Alexander M. Cutler Eleuthere I. du Pont James L. Gallogly Marillyn A. Hewson Lois D. Juliber Ellen J. Kullman Ulf M. Schneider Lee M. Thomas Patrick J. Ward On ratification of independent registered public accounting firm To approve, by advisory vote, executive compensation On lobbying On grower compliance On plant closures On repealing certain amendments to the bylaws	Management	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR	FOR
					7	adopted by the board without stockholder approval	Shareholder	Y	AGAINST	FOR

Internet Appendix IA2. An Example of Fund Abstention

This appendix provides an example in which a voting fund did not support either the management or the dissident. The proxy contest involved Darden Restaurant, Inc. and Starboard Value LP, an activist hedge fund. The vote took place at Darden's 2014 annual meeting on October 10, 2014. The record shows voting by *WisdomTree Earnings 500 Fund* submitted in the N-PX annual report of proxy voting record by the WisdomTree Trust. Available at:

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1350487/000119312515306915/d84606dnpx.txt

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

Ticker: DRI Security ID: 237194105 Meeting Date: OCT 10, 2014 Meeting Type: Proxy Contest

Record Date: AUG 11, 2014

#	Proposal Management Proxy (Blue Card)	Mgt Rec None	Vote Cast	Sponsor
1.1	Elect Director Michael W. Barnes	For	Did Not Vote	Management
1.2	Elect Director Gregory L. Burns	For	Did Not Vote	Management
1.3	Elect Director Jeffrey H. Fox	For	Did Not Vote	Management
1.4	Elect Director Christopher J. (CJ)	For	Did Not Vote	Management
	Fraleigh			
1.5	Elect Director Steven Odland	For	Did Not Vote	_
1.6	Elect Director Michael D. Rose	For	Did Not Vote	•
1.7	Elect Director Maria A. Sastre	For	Did Not Vote	
1.8	Elect Director Enrique Silva	For	Did Not Vote	Management
2	Advisory Vote to Ratify Named	For	Did Not Vote	Management
	Executive Officers' Compensation			
3	Ratify Auditors	For	Did Not Vote	Management
4	Provide Proxy Access Right	For	Did Not Vote	Management
5	Report on Political Contributions	Against	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
6	Report on Lobbying Payments and Policy	Against	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
	Opposition Proxy (White Card)	None		
1.1	Elect Directors Betsy S. Atkins	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.2	Elect Directors Margaret Shan Atkins	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.3	Elect Directors Jean M. Birch	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.4	Elect Directors Bradley D. Blum	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.5	Elect Directors Peter A. Feld	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.6	Elect Directors James P. Fogarty	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.7	Elect Directors Cynthia T. Jamison	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.8	Elect Directors William H. Lenehan	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.9	Elect Directors Lionel L. Nowell, III	For	Did Not Vote	
1.10	Elect Directors Jeffrey C. Smith	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.11	Elect Directors Charles M. Sonsteby	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
1.12	Elect Directors Alan N. Stillman	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
2	Advisory Vote to Ratify Named	None	Did Not Vote	
_	Executive Officers' Compensation			
3	Ratify Auditors	None	Did Not Vote	Management
4	Provide Proxy Access Right	For	Did Not Vote	Management
5	Report on Political Contributions	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder
6	Report on Lobbying Payments and Policy	For	Did Not Vote	Shareholder

.....

Internet Appendix IA3: Measurement, Censoring, and Winsorization of Regression Variables

This table provides additional details on the measurement, censoring, and winsorization of the variables described in Section 3.2 in the text that appear in our analyses.

Panel A: Measurement

Variable	Source	Measurement date				
variable	Source	Voting panel	Targeting panel			
Market value	CRSP	Month end prior to meeting.	Month end prior to announcement date for targeted firms; March month end of N-PX fiscal year for control firms.			
q	Compustat	Nearest fiscal year to meeting date.	Nearest fiscal year to announcement date (to March of N-PX fiscal year) for targeted firms (control firms).			
ROA	Compustat	Nearest fiscal year to meeting date.	Nearest fiscal year to announcement date (to March of N-PX fiscal year) for targeted firms (control firms).			
Leverage	Compustat	Nearest fiscal year to meeting date.	Nearest fiscal year to announcement date (to March of N-PX fiscal year) for targeted firms (control firms).			
Dividend yield	Compustat	Nearest fiscal year to meeting date.	Nearest fiscal year to announcement date (to March of N-PX fiscal year) for targeted firms (control firms).			
ННІ	Compustat	Calendar year with greatest span over nearest fiscal year to meeting date.	Calendar year prior to N-PX fiscal year.			
Institutional ownership	Thomson Reuters 13F	Quarter end prior to meeting.	Quarter end prior to announcement date for targeted firms; March quarter end of N-PX fiscal year for control firms.			
Hedge fund dissident	Various	Varies by identity of dissident only.	N/A			
# past events by dissident	Various	Meeting date.	N/A			
Past campaign intensity	Various	Meeting date.	N/A			
Fund assets (\$ billion)	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Quarter end prior to meeting.	Quarter end prior to announcement date for targeted firms; March quarter end of N-PX fiscal year for control firms.			
Investment as % of fund assets	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Quarter end prior to meeting.	Quarter end prior to announcement date for targeted firms; March quarter end of N-PX fiscal year for control firms.			
Holding horizon (year)	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Quarter end prior to meeting.	Quarter end prior to announcement date for targeted firms; March quarter end of N-PX fiscal year for control firms.			
Basis-adjusted return	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Quarter end prior to meeting.	Quarter end prior to announcement date for targeted firms; March quarter end of N-PX fiscal year for control firms.			

Panel B: Winsorization and Censoring

37 .2.11.	G	Winsorization and Censoring					
Variable	Source	Voting panel	Targeting panel				
Market value	CRSP	Logs taken in regressions.	Logs taken in regressions.				
q	Compustat	Left-censored at 0. Right-winsorized at 1% across all events.	Left-censored at 0. Right-winsorized at 1% across all events.				
ROA	Compustat	Left and right-winsorized at 1% across all events.	Left and right-winsorized at 1% across all firm-years.				
Leverage	Compustat	Censored to [0,1].	Censored to [0,1].				
Dividend yield	Compustat	Left-censored at 0. Right-winsorized at 1% across all events.	Left-censored at 0. Right-winsorized at 1% across all events.				
ННІ	Compustat	Not winsorized; bounded between 0 and 1 by construction.	Not winsorized; bounded between 0 and 1 by construction.				
Institutional ownership	Thomson Reuters 13F	Right-censored at 1. Left tail bounded at 0 by construction.	Right-censored at 1. Left tail bounded at 0 by construction.				
Hedge fund dissident	Various	Not winsorized. Indicator variable.	N/A				
# past events by dissident	Various	Right-winsorized at 1% across all events. Left tail bounded at 0 by construction.	N/A				
Past campaign intensity	Various	Not winsorized; bounded between 0 and 6 by construction.	N/A				
Fund assets (\$ billion)	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Logs taken in regressions.	Logs taken in regressions.				
Investment as % of fund assets	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Not winsorized; bounded between 0 and 1 by construction.	Not winsorized; bounded between 0 and 1 by construction.				
Holding horizon (year)	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Right-winsorized at 1% across all fund- event. Left tail bounded at 0 by construction.	Right-winsorized at 1% across all firm- years. Left tail bounded at 0 by construction.				
Basis-adjusted return	Thomson Reuters S12/CRSP	Right-winsorized at 1% across all fund- events. Left tail bounded at -1 by construction.	Right-winsorized at 1% across all firm- years. Left tail bounded at -1 by construction.				

Internet Appendix IA4: Concentration of Mutual Fund Holdings

This table provides information on the ownership profile of target firms reaching a vote, settlement, or a withdrawal, and non-target firms, respectively. For each firm, we sort mutual funds in descending order by ownership of outstanding shares, and count the number of funds required to achieve each ownership threshold. We report the average and median of these counts across all firms.

		Number of mutual funds required to reach an ownership threshold									
	Voted firms		Settled	Settled firms		Withdrawn firms		Non-target firms			
Ownership threshold	Average	Median	Average	Median	Average	Median	Average	Median			
5%	2.7	2	2.7	2	3	2	3.1	2			
10%	5.1	4	7.2	5	8	6	7.5	5			
15%	12.0	7	12.5	8	20	9	14.6	9			
20%	19.9	12	19.0	13	27	14	25.5	14			

Internet Appendix IA5: Mutual Fund Support by Event and Fund Characteristics

The structure of this table corresponds to that of Table 4 in the text, providing information on event and fund characteristics in proxy contests that reached a vote. Rather than weigh each fund vote equally as in Table 4, we weigh each event equally. Columns (1)-(3) in Panels A and B provide the average, median and standard deviation for the variables described below. In Panel A, the average, median, and standard deviation are at the event-level, whereas in Panel B, we first average a variable across funds in a given event before averaging across all events. Dissident win equals 1 if a dissident wins the voted contest, and 0 otherwise. Event level support for dissident is the percentage of funds voting for the dissident's full or partial slate in a given event. ISS for dissident (Glass Lewis for dissident) is an indicator variable equal to 1 if ISS (Glass Lewis) recommends that investors vote for at least one director nominee from the dissident's slate, and 0 otherwise. Hedge fund dissident is an indicator equal to 1 if the dissident is a hedge fund, and 0 otherwise. # past events by dissident equals the average annual number of interventions the dissident undertakes in the five years preceding a contest. Past campaign intensity equals (#Communication × 1 + #Proposal × 2 + #Confront × 3) / #All campaigns, where #Communication is the number of events in which the dissident seeks to communicate with the board/management, #Proposal is the number of events in which the dissident submits shareholder proposals, and #Confront is the number of events in which the dissident threatens to sue or launch a proxy contest, initiates a proxy contest, a lawsuit, a takeover bid or wants board representation. Announcement return is the cumulative abnormal return ("CAR") between -10 days and +10 days around the announcement of a proxy contest. Passive fund is a dummy variable equal to 1 for a passively managed fund, and 0 otherwise. We define a fund as passively managed by searching if its name includes the indexation-related strings as described in section 3.2.2, or if the fund is categorized as an index fund/ETF in the CRSP Mutual Fund database. Fund assets and Investment as % of fund assets are measured at the quarter end prior to the contested meeting. Holding horizon is the number of years a fund has held the firm's shares; we deem all consecutive holding quarters up to six months apart to represent the same holding sequence. Basis-adjusted return is the percentage deviation of the current stock price from the aggregate cost basis. Columns (4)-(7) and (10)-(13) in Panels A and B provide support rates for (i) full management slate, (ii) partial management slate, (iii) partial dissident slate, and (iv) full dissident slate at low level and high level for each of the characteristic variables. In addition, columns (8) and (9) report abstention votes at low and high levels for each of the characteristic variables. In Panel A, we group contests into low and high levels based on each contest's event-level characteristic. We then average the support rate across all funds within a given contest before taking the average across events within each low/high group. In Panel B, we group fund-event observations into low and high levels based on the value of each fund characteristic. We first average the support rate across all fund-event observations within a given contest that fall into each of the low or high group. We then take the average across all contest-low/high level averages. For ISS for dissident, Glass Lewis for dissident, Hedge fund dissident, and Passive fund, low level takes a value of 0, while high level has a value of 1. For all other variables the cutoff for a highand low-level is the median across the event-level values of the characteristic variable.

Panel A: Event characteristics

]	Event level	support ra	te for ma	anageme	nt or dissi	dent slate		
				Vote	for full	Vote fo	r partial	Abs	tain	Vote fo	r partial	Vote f	for full
				manager	ment slate	managen	nent slate				nt slate	disside	nt slate
	A *******	Madian	Std. Dev.	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
	Average	Median	Sid. Dev.	level	level	level	level	level	level	level	level	level	level
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
Dissident win	51.7%	100%	50.1%										
Event level support for dissident	41.9%	44.3%	34.6%										
ISS for dissident	55.6%	100%	49.8%	79.9%	30.2%	8.2%	5.1%	1.1%	1.9%	2.3%	24.9%	8.6%	37.8%
Glass Lewis for dissident	34.8%	0%	47.8%	60.8%	31.7%	7.1%	5.0%	1.7%	1.4%	12.8%	21.1%	17.7%	40.7%
Hedge fund dissident	78.3%	100%	41.3%	55.7%	48.6%	11.1%	5.0%	1.1%	1.7%	10.9%	17.2%	21.0%	27.5%
# past events by dissident	1.82	0.40	2.85	49.7%	50.6%	7.7%	4.9%	1.9%	1.3%	13.6%	18.1%	27.1%	25.1%
Past campaign intensity	2.01	2.00	1.69	50.3%	49.9%	8.2%	4.6%	1.3%	1.9%	14.1%	17.5%	26.1%	26.2%
Announcement return	5.1%	4.4%	18.6%	55.9%	44.4%	4.8%	7.9%	1.8%	1.4%	13.7%	17.9%	23.8%	28.4%

Panel B: Fund characteristics

				Event level support rate for management or dissident slate									
				Vote	for full	Vote fo	r partial	Abs	tain	Vote fo	r partial	Vote f	or full
				manager	nent slate	manager	nent slate			disside	nt slate	disside	nt slate
	Average	Median	Std. Dev.	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
	Average	Median	Sid. Dev.	level	level	level	level	level	level	level	level	level	level
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
Passive fund	43.5%	44.6%	19.9%	45.1%	57.0%	6.8%	5.4%	1.6%	1.8%	16.0%	15.0%	30.5%	20.8%
Fund assets (\$ billion)	7.67	3.71	14.24	48.7%	61.7%	6.9%	5.8%	1.8%	1.4%	15.9%	10.3%	26.7%	20.8%
Investment as % of fund assets	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	52.0%	45.2%	6.5%	6.9%	1.6%	2.1%	16.0%	14.1%	23.8%	31.7%
Investment as % of firm equity	0.4%	0.2%	0.8%	50.5%	54.2%	6.8%	6.5%	1.8%	1.5%	16.0%	11.8%	25.0%	26.0%
Holding horizon (year)	3.15	2.94	1.61	50.3%	52.8%	6.6%	7.0%	1.8%	0.9%	15.6%	14.8%	25.8%	24.5%
Basis-adjusted return	-4.1%	0.4%	34.4%	48.9%	54.0%	6.6%	6.9%	1.9%	1.0%	16.3%	13.6%	26.3%	24.5%

Internet Appendix IA6: Mutual Fund Voting in Proxy Contests

The structure of this table corresponds to that of Table 5 in the text, providing information on proxy voting by selected subsamples of mutual fund families. Rather than weigh each fund vote equally as in Table 5, we weigh each event equally. Panel A reports proxy voting by the top ten mutual fund families by assets under management ("AUM"). We exclude Pacific Investment Management Company from our list as it is primarily a fixed income fund company. To calculate support for the (i) full management, (ii) partial management, (iii) partial dissident, and (iv) full dissident slates, we average support, which equals 1 if a fund supports the full management/partial management/partial dissident/full dissident slates, and 0 otherwise, across funds within a family for a given proxy contest. We then average across proxy contests for that family. Similarly, we also calculate percentage of abstentions, where a fund abstains if it submits a blank dissident and/or blank management proxy card. Panel B provides evidence on proxy voting by the most and least pro-dissident fund families among frequent institutional voters. We rank fund families by the sum of support for the full dissident slate and support for the partial dissident slate. Frequent institutional voters are fund companies that voted in at least 20% of the 207 proxy contests between 2007 and 2017. Voting records are obtained from N-PX filings. AUM data are collected from N-CSR, 10-K, 10-Q filings, and fund company websites.

Panel A: Top 10 mutual fund families' voting behavior

Fund family name	AUM as of	No. of proxy	Support for	Support for	Abstain	Support for	Support for	% passive
	2017	contests	full	partial		partial	full	funds as of
	(\$ trillion)	voted	management	management		dissident slate	dissident slate	2017
			slate	slate				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
BlackRock	6.3	173	53.4%	8.5%	1.3%	18.5%	18.3%	91.9%
Vanguard Group	4.9	188	76.6%	4.3%	1.2%	5.3%	12.7%	76.9%
State Street	2.8	118	58.1%	15.3%	3.0%	14.2%	9.4%	66.7%
Fidelity Investments	2.4	166	47.3%	7.3%	2.7%	17.1%	25.6%	22.1%
Dreyfus Investments (BNY Mellon)	1.9	75	50.7%	5.3%	4.0%	18.7%	21.3%	37.5%
American Funds (Capital Group)	1.8	34	50.8%	6.6%	0%	12.3%	30.3%	0%
J.P. Morgan Asset Management	1.7	82	47.2%	7.7%	1.4%	16.2%	27.5%	14.7%
Goldman Sachs Asset Management	1.5	45	21.5%	7.1%	0%	41.7%	29.6%	11.1%
Prudential Financial	1.4	100	47.8%	6.7%	0.2%	17.9%	27.4%	7.7%
Northern Trust Investments	1.2	134	72.6%	0.7%	0%	8.2%	18.4%	62.5%

Panel B: Most and least pro-dissident fund families among frequent voters

Fund family name	AUM as	No. of proxy	Support for	Support for	Abstain	Support for	Support for	% passive
	of 2017	contests voted	full	partial		partial	full	funds as of
	(\$ billion)		management	management		dissident slate	dissident slate	2017
			slate	slate				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Most pro-dissident families								
Goldman Sachs Asset Management	1,490.0	45	21.5%	7.1%	0%	41.7%	29.6%	11.1%
Mutual of America	21.2	42	27.0%	0%	2.4%	31.3%	39.3%	33.3%
Gabelli Asset Management	43.1	70	30.4%	0.7%	1.4%	14.3%	53.2%	0%
LWI Financial	16.3	113	37.2%	5.3%	0%	23.0%	34.5%	0%
AssetMark, Inc.	44.0	59	41.5%	1.7%	0%	21.2%	35.6%	36.3%
Least pro-dissident families								
Guggenheim Investments	208.0	109	84.8%	0.6%	3.4%	1.8%	9.4%	54.5%
Vanguard Group	4,940.4	188	76.6%	4.3%	1.2%	5.3%	12.7%	76.9%
State Street	2,781.7	118	58.1%	15.3%	3.0%	14.2%	9.4%	66.7%
Northern Trust Investments	1,161.0	134	72.6%	0.7%	0.0%	8.2%	18.4%	62.5%
Penn Mutual Asset Management	23.5	92	58.2%	10.9%	2.2%	19.4%	9.4%	50.0%

Internet Appendix IA7: Integrated Analysis of Proxy Contests and Voting – Time-Adapted Stance Measure

This table repeats the analysis in Table 10 using an alternative measure of fund stance. We estimate each fund's fixed effect using only voted events that have occurred up to the year of targeting. The dependent variable in the voting equation, *Mutual fund supports dissident*, equals 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, or 1 if a mutual fund votes for the full management slate, votes for the partial management slate, abstains, votes for the partial dissident slate, or votes for the full dissident slate, respectively. In the targeting equation, *Voted, Settled, or Withdrawn* equals 1 if a proxy contest results in a vote, is settled, or withdrawn. *Log(fund assets), Investment as % of fund assets, Holding horizon (year)*, and *Basis-adjusted return* are aggregated to the firm level by weighting each fund by its investment as percent of firm equity. All other independent variables are as defined in Table 3. Second-stage standard errors are clustered at the fund family level. In each column we report estimated coefficients and their associated *t*-statistics. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Singleton observations are dropped in each fixed-effect model.

		Targeting equat	ion:	Voting equation:
		Multinomial lo	git	Linear regression
	Voted	Settled	Withdrawn	Fund supports dissident {0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1}
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log(MV)	-0.187***	-0.237***	-0.096	-0.057***
	[-2.86]	[-4.02]	[-1.16]	[-5.56]
q	-0.049	-0.102**	-0.117*	-0.034***
	[-1.18]	[-2.39]	[-1.83]	[-5.83]
ROA	0.349	0.141	1.191*	-0.094*
	[0.68]	[0.31]	[1.73]	[-1.78]
Leverage	0.213	-0.405	-1.127*	0.107***
	[0.57]	[-1.18]	[-1.92]	[3.36]
Dividend yield	-0.408	0.924	1.493	0.167
	[-0.27]	[0.78]	[0.84]	[1.31]
HHI	-1.060*	-0.230	-0.329	0.274***
	[-1.95]	[-0.55]	[-0.51]	[4.62]
Institutional ownership	1.163***	1.477***	0.708	0.205***
	[3.31]	[4.87]	[1.61]	[6.35]
Log(fund assets) (firm-level)	0.054	-0.071	-0.019	
	[0.57]	[-0.96]	[-0.17]	
Inv. as % of fund assets (firm-level)	1.892	0.468	-0.500	
	[0.80]	[0.19]	[-0.09]	
Holding horizon (year) (firm-level)	0.005	0.004	0.003	
	[1.45]	[1.46]	[0.85]	
Basis-adjusted return (firm-level)	-0.534**	-1.024***	-1.245***	
	[-2.31]	[-4.82]	[-3.72]	
Fund stance measure (firm-level)	2.502***	1.476**	1.087	
	[3.76]	[2.51]	[1.15]	
Hedge fund dissident				0.161***
				[4.58]
# past events by dissident				-0.010***
				[-4.14]
Past campaign intensity				-0.001
1 8 7				[-0.16]
Log(fund assets)				-0.008
<i>S</i> ()				[-0.43]
Investment as % of fund assets				-0.139

				[-0.11]
Holding horizon (year)				-0.001
				[-0.55]
Basis-adjusted return				-0.085***
				[-4.09]
Lee correction term				-0.352***
				[-4.55]
Fiscal year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fund FEs	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	33,281	33,281	33,281	17,574
Adj. R-squared				0.20
Pseudo R-squared	0.06	0.06	0.06	

Internet Appendix IA8: Integrated Analysis of Proxy Contests and Voting – Heckman Correction

This table repeats the analysis in Table 10 using the Heckman two-step procedure to estimate a system of equations for investor voting and dissident targeting. The dependent variable in the voting equation, *Mutual fund supports dissident*, equals 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, or 1 if a mutual fund votes for the full management slate, votes for the partial management slate, abstains, votes for the partial dissident slate, or votes for the full dissident slate, respectively. The dependent variable in the first stage probit, *Voted*, equals 1 if a firm experiences a proxy contest that reaches a vote and 0 otherwise. In specification 1, we treat firms that experience a proxy contest that reaches a settlement or a withdrawal as non-targets. In specification 2, we exclude such firms from the sample. In the targeting equation, *Log(fund assets)*, *Investment as % of fund assets*, *Holding horizon (year)*, and *Basis-adjusted return* are aggregated to the firm level by weighting each fund by its investment as percent of firm equity. All other independent variables are as defined in Table 3 in the text. Panel A reports results using the full-sample stance measure, while Panel B features the time-adapted stance measure. Second-stage standard errors are clustered at the fund family level. In each column we report estimated coefficients and their associated *t*-statistics. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Singleton observations are dropped in each fixed-effect model.

Panel A: Full-sample stance measure

•	(1)	(2	2)
_	Targeting	Voting	Targeting	Voting
	equation:	equation:	equation:	equation:
	Probit	Linear	Probit	Linear
		regression		regression
Log(MV)	-0.054***	-0.050***	-0.055***	-0.050***
	[-2.57]	[-6.28]	[-2.62]	[-6.22]
q	-0.018	-0.039***	-0.018	-0.039***
	[-1.32]	[-7.96]	[-1.35]	[-7.96]
ROA	0.113	-0.110**	0.115	-0.110**
	[0.66]	[-2.04]	[0.68]	[-2.03]
Leverage	0.100	0.126***	0.098	0.124***
	[0.79]	[3.49]	[0.78]	[3.45]
Dividend yield	-0.240	0.258**	-0.234	0.257**
	[-0.46]	[2.52]	[-0.45]	[2.51]
HHI	-0.302*	0.309***	-0.306*	0.309***
	[-1.72]	[5.83]	[-1.74]	[5.82]
Institutional ownership	0.314***	0.141***	0.320***	0.141***
	[2.73]	[3.76]	[2.78]	[3.74]
Log(fund assets) (firm-level)	0.010		0.010	
	[0.34]		[0.34]	
Inv. as % of fund assets (firm-level)	0.728		0.736	
	[0.85]		[0.86]	
Holding horizon (year) (firm-level)	0.002		0.002	
	[1.38]		[1.40]	
Basis-adjusted return (firm-level)	-0.201***		-0.206***	
T 1 (% 1 1)	[-2.66]		[-2.72]	
Fund stance measure (firm-level)	0.971***		0.978***	
	[3.65]	0.4.4.4.4.4.4	[3.67]	0.4.4.4.4.4.4
Hedge fund dissident		0.144***		0.144***
, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		[4.79]		[4.81]
# past events by dissident		-0.009***		-0.009***
D		[-3.96]		[-3.99]
Past campaign intensity		0.004		0.004
		[0.82]		[0.81]

Log(fund assets)		-0.009		-0.009
,		[-0.54]		[-0.53]
Investment as % of fund assets		0.202		0.203
		[0.16]		[0.16]
Holding horizon (year)		0.001		0.001
		[0.71]		[0.70]
Basis-adjusted return		-0.097***		-0.097***
		[-4.80]		[-4.81]
Heckman correction term		0.372***		0.365***
		[5.69]		[5.60]
Fiscal year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fund FEs	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	36,633	18,698	36,221	18,698
Adj. R-squared				0.21
Pseudo R-squared	0.04	0.21	0.04	

Panel B: Time-adapted stance measure

Panei B: Time-adapted stance mea		1)	(2	2)
_	Targeting	Voting	Targeting	Voting
	equation:	equation:	equation:	equation:
	Probit	Linear	Probit	Linear
		regression		regression
Log(MV)	-0.068***	-0.056***	-0.069***	-0.056***
	[-3.01]	[-5.37]	[-3.04]	[-5.28]
q	-0.015	-0.034***	-0.015	-0.034***
	[-1.08]	[-5.77]	[-1.11]	[-5.75]
ROA	0.127	-0.093*	0.128	-0.093*
_	[0.71]	[-1.76]	[0.72]	[-1.76]
Leverage	0.075	0.109***	0.073	0.108***
5	[0.56]	[3.37]	[0.54]	[3.33]
Dividend yield	-0.099	0.181	-0.094	0.177
	[-0.19]	[1.38]	[-0.17]	[1.35]
ННІ	-0.367*	0.276***	-0.371**	0.278***
Institutional assumption	[-1.95]	[4.63]	[-1.96]	[4.64] 0.196***
Institutional ownership	0.397***	0.199***	0.403***	
Log(fund assets) (firm-level)	[3.20] 0.022	[6.15]	[3.24] 0.022	[6.02]
Log(fund assets) (firm-level)	[0.67]		[0.66]	
Inv. as % of fund assets (firm-level)	0.819		0.821	
miv. as 70 of fund assets (mini-level)	[0.90]		[0.90]	
Holding horizon (year) (firm-level)	0.002		0.002	
Troiding norizon (year) (mm-iever)	[1.38]		[1.40]	
Basis-adjusted return (firm-level)	-0.188**		-0.193**	
Dasis adjusted retain (min level)	[-2.34]		[-2.40]	
Fund stance measure (firm-level)	0.902***		0.909***	
T und stance measure (mm rever)	[3.57]		[3.59]	
Hedge fund dissident	[0.07]	0.159***	[5.65]	0.160***
110 ugo 1umu unseruem		[4.51]		[4.52]
# past events by dissident		-0.011***		-0.011***
1 2		[-4.24]		[-4.28]
Past campaign intensity		-0.001		-0.001
,		[-0.11]		[-0.12]
Log(fund assets)		-0.008		-0.008
		[-0.44]		[-0.44]
Investment as % of fund assets		-0.138		-0.137
		[-0.11]		[-0.11]
Holding horizon (year)		-0.001		-0.001
		[-0.57]		[-0.57]
Basis-adjusted return		-0.085***		-0.086***
		[-4.15]		[-4.16]
Heckman correction term		0.345***		0.334***
		[4.34]		[4.22]
Eigenlauer EEg	V.	V	V	V 7
Fiscal year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fund FEs Observations	No 32 367	Yes	No 32.024	Yes
Adj. R-squared	32,367	17,574	32,024	17,574 0.20
Pseudo R-squared	0.04	0.20	0.04	0.20
1 seudo K-squared	0.04	0.20	0.04	

Internet Appendix IA9: Integrated Analysis of Proxy Contests and Voting – Adjusted t-Statistics

This table repeats the analysis in Table 10 and Internet Appendix IA7 adjusting for generated regressor bias in the second stage. For each bootstrap sample, we sample with replacement from the targeting sample and re-estimate each event's Lee correction term. We then re-estimate the second stage for each iteration. We compute the adjusted variance of each coefficient estimate as the sum of its unadjusted variance and the variance of point estimates across bootstrap samples. Unadjusted standard errors are clustered at the fund family level. We report results for 500 bootstrap samples. The dependent variable in the voting equation, *Mutual fund supports dissident*, equals 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, or 1 if a mutual fund votes for the full management slate, votes for the partial management slate, abstains, votes for the partial dissident slate, or votes for the full dissident slate, respectively. Column 1 (2) reports results using the full-sample stance measure (time-adapted stance measure) in the first stage. In each column we report estimated coefficients and their associated *t*-statistics. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Singleton observations are dropped in each fixed-effect model.

	Full-sample stance measure in first stage	Time-adapted stance measure in first stage
	(1)	(2)
Log(MV)	-0.049***	-0.057***
	[-3.50]	[-3.32]
q	-0.039***	-0.034***
	[4.26]	[-4.03]
ROA	-0.108	-0.094
	[-1.60]	[-1.41]
Leverage	0.119**	0.107**
	[2.30]	[2.35]
Dividend yield	0.244**	0.167
	[2.14]	[1.15]
ННІ	0.312***	0.274***
	[4.30]	[3.34]
Institutional ownership	0.139**	0.205***
	[2.03]	[2.80]
Hedge fund dissident	0.145***	0.161***
	[4.71]	[4.50]
# past events by dissident	-0.009***	-0.010***
	[-3.20]	[-3.62]
Past campaign intensity	0.004	-0.001
	[0.75]	[-0.16]
Log(fund assets)	-0.008	-0.008
	[-0.52]	[-0.43]
Investment as % of fund assets	0.200	-0.139
	[0.16]	[-0.11]
Holding horizon (year)	0.001	-0.001
	[0.61]	[-0.51]
Basis-adjusted return	-0.095***	-0.085***
-	[-4.52]	[-3.93]

Lee correction term	-0.357**	-0.352**
	[-2.26]	[-2.14]
Fiscal year FEs	Yes	Yes
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes	Yes
Fund FEs	Yes	Yes
Observations	18,698	17,574
Adj. R-squared	0.21	0.20

Internet Appendix IA10: Integrated Analysis of Proxy Contests and Voting – Passive Funds Only

This table repeats the analysis in Table 10 and Internet Appendix IA7 with the second-stage sample restricted to passive funds only. The first stage targeting equation is estimated as in Table 10 and Internet Appendix IA7. The dependent variable in the voting equation, *Mutual fund supports dissident*, equals 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, or 1 if a mutual fund votes for the full management slate, votes for the partial management slate, abstains, votes for the partial dissident slate, or votes for the full dissident slate, respectively. Specification 1 (2) reports results using the full-sample stance measure (time-adapted stance measure) in the first stage. Standard errors are clustered at the fund family level. In each column we report estimated coefficients and their associated t-statistics. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Singleton observations are dropped in each fixed-effect model.

	(1)	(2)
	Full-sample stance measure in	Time-adapted stance measure
	first stage	in first stage
Log(MV)	-0.048***	-0.058***
	[-4.61]	[-7.02]
q	-0.029***	-0.027***
	[-5.23]	[-4.02]
ROA	-0.155***	-0.146***
	[-2.70]	[-2.84]
Leverage	0.170***	0.152***
	[3.40]	[3.79]
Dividend yield	0.317**	0.350**
	[1.99]	[2.08]
ННІ	0.263***	0.220***
	[3.82]	[3.12]
Institutional ownership	0.113**	0.186***
	[2.38]	[4.51]
Hedge fund dissident	0.094***	0.097***
	[2.99]	[2.69]
# past events by dissident	-0.012***	-0.012***
	[-5.18]	[-6.41]
Past campaign intensity	0.014**	0.008
	[2.27]	[1.03]
Log(fund assets)	0.000	-0.001
	[0.01]	[-0.05]
Investment as % of fund assets	-5.255***	-5.468***
	[-5.07]	[-4.96]
Holding horizon (year)	0.005**	0.004*
	[2.43]	[1.90]
Basis-adjusted return	-0.061**	-0.050*
	[-2.39]	[-1.98]
Lee correction term	-0.316***	-0.351***
	[-3.18]	[-6.23]

Fiscal year FEs	Yes	Yes
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes	Yes
Fund FEs	Yes	Yes
Observations	8,716	8,337
Adj. R-squared	0.20	0.20

Internet Appendix IA11: Mutual Fund Trading in Target Firms Prior to a Proxy Contest - Probit Model

This table reports results on the determinants of mutual funds' trading in event firms prior to a proxy contest. *No-show* equals 1 if a fund holds the target shares in quarter ends *Q*-2 to *Q*, where *Q* is the quarter in which the record date falls, and there is no disclosed vote by the fund. It equals 0 if a fund votes in the proxy contest. *Buy-into-voting* is an indicator variable equal to 1 if a voting fund discloses holdings in quarter *Q* but not in quarter *Q*-1 or *Q*-2, and 0 otherwise. *Sell-out-of-voting* is an indicator variable equal to 1 if a non-voting fund discloses holdings in quarters *Q*-1 and *Q*-2 but not in quarter *Q*, and it equals 0 for a voting fund. *PA for dissident* is set to *ISS for dissident* or *Glass Lewis for dissident*, if only one of the two advisory firms issues a recommendation. It equals [(*ISS for dissident*) + (*Glass Lewis for dissident*)]/2, if both advisors issue a recommendation. All other independent variables are as defined in Table 3. The no-show regression includes both active and passive mutual funds, while the buy-intovoting and sell-out-of-voting regressions include only active mutual funds. Standard errors are clustered at the fund family level. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

Dependent variable	No-show			Buy-into-voting			Sell-out-of-voting		
	Coefficient	t-stat.	Marg. Prob.	Coefficient	t-stat.	Marg. Prob.	Coefficient	t-stat.	Marg. Prob.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Log (MV)	-0.078***	-5.05	-2.0%	0.091***	3.50	1.3%	-0.023	-1.34	-0.3%
q	-0.005	-0.46	-0.1%	0.009	0.36	0.1%	0.013	0.91	0.2%
ROA	0.099	0.79	2.5%	0.390*	1.68	5.5%	-0.140	-0.90	-2.0%
Leverage	-0.001	-0.02	-0.00%	-0.200	-1.20	-2.8%	-0.084	-0.64	-1.2%
Dividend yield	0.069	0.16	1.7%	-1.155**	-2.07	-16.3%	0.208	0.40	2.9%
ННІ	-0.207*	-1.81	-5.2%	0.387*	1.74	5.5%	-0.395**	-2.16	-5.6%
Institutional ownership	0.296***	4.09	7.5%	-0.742**	-2.41	-10.5%	0.361**	2.23	5.1%
Hedge fund dissident	-0.307***	-4.18	-8.5%	0.104	0.97	1.4%	-0.235***	-3.38	-3.7%
# past events by dissident	-0.011*	-1.79	-0.3%	0.012	1.24	0.2%	0.005	0.57	0.1%
Past campaign intensity	-0.007	-0.63	-0.2%	0.044**	2.15	0.6%	-0.010	-0.57	-0.1%
Log(fund assets)	0.014	0.52	0.3%	-0.045	-1.63	-0.6%	-0.015	-0.56	-0.2%
Investment as % of fund assets	-12.366***	-3.38	-311.6%	-18.612***	-3.86	-263.2%	-26.313***	-4.79	-370.2%
Fund stance measure	0.214	0.66	5.4%	-0.116	-0.62	-1.6%	-0.067	-0.37	-0.9%
Holding horizon (year)	0.001	0.72	0.00%				-0.004***	-3.95	-0.1%
Basis-adjusted return	0.025	0.97	0.6%				0.028	1.19	0.4%
Passive fund	-0.123	-1.56	-3.1%						
PA for dissident	0.166***	3.89	4.2%						
Fiscal year FEs	Yes			Yes			Yes		
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes			Yes			Yes		
Observations	20,505			8,890			9,522		
Pseudo R-squared	0.05			0.04			0.06		
% (Dep. Variable =1)	14.3%			6.3%			6.8%		

Internet Appendix IA12: Placebo Test for Buy-into-Voting and Sell-out-of-Voting

This table replicates the results reported in columns (4)-(9) in Internet Appendix A11, except that quarter Q is set as two quarters before the quarter when a proxy contest is announced. All variables are identical to those in Internet Appendix IA11. Standard errors are clustered at the fund family level. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

Dependent variable	В	uy-into-vo	ting	Sell-out-of-voting			
	Coefficient (1)	<i>t</i> -stat. (2)	Marg. Prob. (3)	Coefficient (4)	<i>t</i> -stat. (5)	Marg. Prob. (6)	
Log (MV)	0.087***	3.04	1.5%	-0.014	-0.51	-0.3%	
q	-0.045**	-1.98	-0.8%	0.012	0.63	0.2%	
ROA	0.342	1.30	6.0%	0.089	0.43	1.7%	
Leverage	0.192	1.38	3.3%	0.083	0.63	1.6%	
Dividend yield	-1.856***	-2.70	-32.4%	1.029**	1.98	19.9%	
ННІ	-0.628***	-3.61	-11.0%	-0.063	-0.38	-1.2%	
Institutional ownership	-0.473*	-1.66	-8.3%	-0.006	-0.04	-0.1%	
Hedge fund dissident	-0.026	-0.27	-0.5%	-0.273***	-3.36	-5.9%	
# past events by dissident	-0.016	-1.42	-0.3%	0.000	0.02	0.0%	
Past campaign intensity	0.002	0.09	0.0%	0.058***	3.91	1.1%	
Log(fund assets)	-0.061**	-2.40	-1.1%	-0.017	-0.62	-0.3%	
Investment as % of fund assets	-10.019**	-2.22	-175.1%	-19.948***	-3.80	-385.1%	
Fund stance measure	0.110	0.70	1.9%	-0.270	-1.54	-5.2%	
Holding horizon (year)				-0.005***	-5.32	-0.1%	
Basis-adjusted return				0.022	0.95	0.4%	
Fiscal year FEs	Yes			Yes			
Industry FEs (FF-12)	Yes			Yes			
Observations	8,266			8,632			
Pseudo R-squared	0.04			0.06			
% (Dep. Variable =1)	7.2%			8.9%			