THE MINERALOGY OF THE EL ALI IAB IRON: CONDITIONS OF FORMATION

Christopher D.K. Herd¹, Andrew J. Locock¹, Radhika Saini¹, and Chi Ma². ¹Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E3, Canada. Email: herd@ualberta.ca ²Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125.

Introduction: The El Ali meteorite is a 15.2 ton iron meteorite from Somalia, originally known by camel herders and others in the region as "Nightfall" [1]. Further insights into the history of this significant meteorite are provided in [2, 3]. The meteorite belongs to the IAB Complex as defined by [4] – a group that spans a diversity of bulk compositions and that is typified by silicate or other inclusions. IAB irons may have formed by melting due to impact heating on a porous chondritic body [4].

Detailed study of phosphate inclusions in El Ali revealed the occurrence of at least three new minerals. Analysis by EPMA (University of Alberta) and by SEM-EBSD (Caltech) on specimen MET11814/2-1/EP1 of the University of Alberta Meteorite Collection revealed the occurrence, and enabled the description of: elalite (Fe²⁺₈Fe³⁺(PO₄)O₈, IMA 2022-087), elkinstantonite (Fe₄(PO₄)₂O, IMA 2022-088) [5], and olsenite (KFe₄(PO₄)₃, IMA 2022-100) [6]. Details of elalite and elkinstantonite can be found in [7]; olsenite is described in [8]. Here, we discuss the origin of these minerals and the implications of their occurrence for the petrogenesis of the El Ali meteorite.

Occurrence: Elaliite, elkinstantonite and olsenite occur along with wüstite, troilite, sarcopside and Ca-bearing graftonite within inclusions in El Ali. Most inclusions are $\sim 100~\mu m$ across, although larger, mm-scale inclusions have the greatest mineralogical diversity (Figure 1). In larger inclusions, elaliite typically occurs as $\sim 10~x$ 50 μm euhedral elongate laths at the interfaces of troilite±wüstite and graftonite-rich areas (Fig. 1). Graftonite-rich areas also contain $< 5~\mu m$ grains of Si-bearing sarcopside, elaliite and troilite. In smaller ($< 200~\mu m$) inclusions, elaliite occurs with troilite set in a matrix of sarcopside/graftonite [7]; this is also the typical setting for olsenite [8]. Elkinstantonite has thus far only been found in smaller inclusions, as subhedral grains associated with troilite+wüstite symplectites, troilite, and elaliite. Minor terrestrial alteration extends along fractures and grain boundaries; this is consistent with the specimen being taken from the exterior of the meteorite. The identification of magnetite [7] is currently being reassessed.

Implications: The mineralogy of El Ali reflects a diversity of iron valence states, from Fe⁰ (Fe-Ni metal), to Fe²⁺-dominant minerals (troilite, wüstite, graftonite/sarcopside, olsenite and elkinstantonite) to mixed Fe²⁺-Fe³⁺ elaliite. These reflect varying relative proportions of Fe, S, P, K, Ca, and O in a low-oxygen fugacity system.

The textures and mineralogy of the large inclusions suggest the crystallization of two immiscible phases in the Fe-S-O system during cooling, represented by troilite and wüstite symplectites on the inclusion margins (Fig. 1). This was followed by crystallization of an O-rich (S-poor) melt – represented by the inclusion interiors, dominated by graftonite, and containing elalite and Si-bearing sarcopside. The presence of Si in the sarcopside may suggest coupled substitution of Si⁴⁺ for P⁵⁺, enabling Fe³⁺ for Fe²⁺; in this way, the sarcopside may also contain mixed-valence Fe. This substitution has yet to be quantified.

Elaliite appears to have nucleated at the interface of the Srich and O-rich melts, presumably where the O (and thus Fe^{3+}) content was highest. In smaller (<200 μ m) inclusions there is a similar occurrence of troilite±wüstite and elaliite at the margins




Figure 1. BSE image of an inclusion in El Ali showing troilite-wüstite symplectites (Tro+Wüs), wüstite-free troilite (Tro), elaliite (Eal), and graftonite (Gft)-sarcopside (Sar)-troilite-elaliite intergrowths.

of the inclusions. In this case, however, elkinstantonite appears to form instead of graftonite/sarcopside. Notably, elkinstantonite and graftonite are similar in composition, with the only difference being that elkinstantonite has one additional "FeO" per unit formula.

Acknowledgements: Funding was provided by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grant RGPIN-2018-04902 to CDKH. We thank the Nick Gessler (Duke Univ.), and numerous contacts within Somalia and elsewhere for providing access to the material used in this research.

References: [1] Gattacceca J. et al. (2022) Meteoritics & Planetary Science 57: 2102-2105. [2] Egeh A.H. (2023) This Meeting. [3] Hussein A.A. (2023) This Meeting. [4] Wasson J.T. and G.W. Kallemeyn (2002) Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 66:2445-2473. [5] Herd C.D.K. et al. (2022) European Journal of Mineralogy, 34. [6] Ma C. et al. (2022) European Journal of Mineralogy, 35. [7] Herd C.D.K. et al. (2023) LPS LIV, Abstract #2220. [8] Ma C. et al. (2023) LPS LIV, Abstract #1883.